

Review of: "Artificial Intelligence & Nature-Based Solutions in Agriculture: A BT Cotton Pest Management Case Study in India"

Naveed Akhtar Shad

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

After the rigorous review of the presented study, a major revision of the manuscript prior to possible publication in the esteemed and prestigious journal is suggested owing to the following major discrepancies found in the manuscript;

- I. The abstract should be concise and to the point, as it depicts the concise summary of the whole manuscript for general readers and fresh scholars in emerging fields. It should be sorted maximum up to 150 words with self-explanatory terms rather than extensive elaborations. Moreover, appropriate and extensive key words must be included to make the study lucrative and comprehensive.
- II. The introduction portion has poor write-up grammatically (having lots of hypos, errors, omissions, and other mistakes) and needs to be rewritten and cited accordingly after each para or sentence, whichever is convenient.
- III. The data presented as references must be in tabular form with proper citations, and the latest citations are admirable to make the study more informative and worthwhile.
- IV. The study is not thematic and lacks novelty.
- V. It seems that authors didn't bother to review the manuscript prior to submission, as no proper formatting is observed. Although authors generated plentiful data, it was presented poorly; the methodology, results, and discussion should be appended separately.
- VI. No graphical representation is included with proper error bars and indexing.
- VII. No conclusion is included; it needs to be added for potential readers and scholars.