

Peer Review

Review of: "Evaluation of Musical Conductor Exposure to Noise"

Antonio J. Cubero-Atienza¹

1. Universidad de Córdoba, Spain

The paper is exactly the same as in the previous evaluation. Below, I copy my previous evaluation:

I think that this is a publication with a very limited scope. The study, as noted at the end in section 6. Limitations, is limited to one conductor during his work over two days. This cannot be considered significant either for the group (one individual) or for that individual (only two days of sampling).

It's a measurement exercise that has no greater interest than having taken a measurement with a dosimeter for two days, then downloading the result to the computer and comparing it with the limit established by the NIOSH standard.

It does not describe any methodology followed, nor calibration of the measuring equipment, nor precautions for monitoring the measurement (dosimetry must be monitored).

In short, it does not follow any international standard, except for the limit values published by NIOSH.

Unfortunately, I think that it's not suitable for publication. It does not follow a sound methodology. It's like a practical exercise in an occupational risk prevention master.

Declarations

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.