

Review of: "[Short Communication] Measles: 1963-2023, Immunology of a Morbillivirus"

Atif Khurshid Wani¹

¹ Lovely Professional University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Comments

1. Abstract needs to be more scientific. In the initial part of the abstract, authors have given some definitions which aren't required. They can be added to the introduction section.
2. Authors are encouraged to summarize what they are discussing in the review, and what are the aims and objectives of this paper.
3. It is essential that authors give an account of the vaccine development against measles. Its addition will increase the quality of the paper.
4. Most of the abbreviations used aren't defined, Authors should define them at the first mention.