

Peer Review

Review of: "From Functional Planning to Hybrid Approaches: Rethinking the Modelling of Complex Urban Dynamics"

Josef Martin Diaz¹

1. Universidad de Lima, Peru

This paper exhibits multiple "red flags" indicative of AI generation (hallucination), fabrication of references, and likely plagiarism/patchwriting.

Here is a detailed breakdown of the evidence supporting the conclusion that this is a "false paper."

1. Reference Hallucination and Mismatch (The "Smoking Gun")

The most damning evidence lies in the discrepancy between what the text says a citation is about, and what the citation *actually* is in the reference list. This is a classic symptom of AI (like ChatGPT) generating text.

2. Conceptual Hallucinations (The "Decazeville Model")

The paper treats specific case studies as if they are famous, established theoretical models.

3. Plagiarism and "Patchwriting"

The structure and content suggest the paper is stitched together from disparate sources without synthesis.

4. Incoherent Flow and "Word Salad"

The transition between sections is jarring and illogical, a common trait of AI trying to hit a word count.

5. Visual Quality Issues

Conclusion for Review

Recommendation: REJECT.

Summary for the Editor:

This manuscript appears to be a fabrication involving AI-generated content and hallucinated references.

This paper does not meet the standards of scientific integrity.

Declarations

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.