Review of: "Presenting a Wind Turbine Model for Climate Change Education and Action"

Zhen Ye[1]

1 University College London, University of London

(1) I would suggest using wind turbine case study (Section A) as case study more appropriately captures what's being conveyed. Model, in its abstractness, does not address intricacy well. I can understand the symbolism in the article but when we refer to a wind turbine model, it tends to be more technical, e.g. wind speed, etc. (2) The use of the literature should be more extensive and there is a need to go beyond Shepardson et al. (2012). (3) I don't see a lot of technical discussion in this paper. I does contain a lot of symbolism but less essence. I would like to see more technical discussion that goes beyond symbolism.