

Review of: "A Bibliometric Review of CSR in China Based on CNKI Database: 2006-2022"

Concepta McManus¹

¹ Universidade de Brasília

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The paper could be suitable after major revisions. The title, abstract and paper contain abbreviations without explanation (CSR, SEC, CNKI, CSCD, ESG etc). The authors presume we know about Musk, or anti-greenwashing (together not green wash) etc. English, in general, is poor. Material and Methods is too short and does not fully describe the data and analyses carried out. While the average number of papers per year is around 154 this means nothing, we can see a peak in 2009 around 250 - why the drop? It is to be expected that "corporate social responsibility" would be prevalent in the results if it was used as the search word. IN the keyword frequency analysis S and Q are not explained. Results and Discussion. While the authors describe the results they do not discuss "why" there are changes in the studies. Conclusions also do not conclude but continue or repeat the discussion.