

Review of: "Comparative Study between Using Only Vaginal Misoprostol and Using Vaginal Misoprostol and Estradiol Cream for Induction of Labour: Randomized controlled trial"

Andreea Ionescu¹

¹ Carol Davila University of Medicine and Pharmacy

Potential competing interests: The author(s) declared that no potential competing interests exist.

This study is acceptable but I recommend some revisions. This paper doesn't seem to be scientifically written. There are a lot of typos in the whole paper (e.g.: "k.gs"), there are some phrases that should be reconsidered (e.g.: "Estradiol was proposed that acts synergistically with misoprostol vaginally and significantly hastens the process of delivery cervical ripening, initiation of active labor, and vaginal" , "Misoprostol was repeated every 4 h" , "Although it was proposed that estradiol act synergistically with misoprostol in labor induction."- last one has no sense . English should be improved, there are a lot of wrong expressions such as: "Misoprostol is prostaglandins".

Also, when using abbreviations you should explain them before using them (e.g.: SEPT in this formulation: "Decreased fetal kicks in 12 patients (20%) in the misoprostol group and 22 patients (36.7%) in the estradiol group, SEPT in 17 patients (28.3%) in misoprostol group and 15 patients (25%) in estradiol group while rupture of membrane (ROM) in 11 patients (18.3%) in the misoprostol group and 13 patients (21.7%)in estradiol group.").

The structure of the paper is well defined, easy to follow.