

# Review of: "The Anthropocene Borderline Problems"

Eugenio Luciano<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Max Planck Institute for the History of Science

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

Honestly, this is a very well written article throughout. It makes the case for an interesting argument; sheds light on current and ongoing debates (e.g. epoch vs episode vs event) in the Anthropocene academic landscape; and it provides a rich literature that includes 'classics' of Anthropocene Studies as well as recently published literature. The approach is quite unique, combining philosophical perspectives with history of science and geochronological classification.

The only remark I can think of is that I wish the author would define 'borderline problem' earlier in the paper, namely the introduction as well as the very first line of the abstract. This does not be the full theoretical explanation of the concept, but really just a single line explaining what this concept means. This would further help the reader understanding what is a central concept throughout the paper.

Beyond this, there is nothing much that need to be done for it except for recommending publication.