

Review of: "Carcinogenesis and Angiogenesis Study Induced by Anthrachinone Plants and Hydroxyanthracen Derivatives in Chicken Eggs"

Kebede Feyisa

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The manuscript's title is highly intriguing and provides information about a novel method of triggering angiogenesis and carcinogenesis, particularly when employing natural products. To the best of my knowledge, there may be a few grammatical and punctuation issues as well as errors in writing style. The first one is relating to the title. "**Carcinogenesis and Angiogenesis Study Induced by Anthrachinone Plants and Hydroxyanthracen Derivatives in Chicken Eggs**" is the title of the study. But, the word "**anthrachinone**" in this title is unclear; I believe the author intended to say or write "**anthraquinone plants**," such as Senna leaves or fruits, rhubarb root, Aloe, etc., which contain anthraquinone derivatives that have a laxative effect. Therefore, if anything is unfamiliar to me, it has to be clarified unless it needs to be replaced by **anthraquinone plants**.

The **abstract** is the subject of the second. The abstract's structure is unclear. It should include background, method, result, and conclusions if it wants to be structured. Although it begins with phrases without a title background, the method section does have a title. Therefore, either eliminate the word "method" or organize the abstract.

The second problem has to do with grammar and punctuation. In "Objective Exam" section, the author leaves the next phrase unfinished by full stop. ".....On the seventh day. The word "**bright red**" in the ".....the yolk of areas in angiogenesis attributable to a **bright bright red color**..." should be corrected to **bright red**. I also noticed another problem with the figure. The article contains about three or four figures, but they are **neither titled nor cited** in the text. These figures should have explicit text **references** in the text and be labeled figure 1, figure 2, figure 3, etc.

And finally, I don't understand why **thereferences are provided but not cited in the text** It is OK about it if this article/manuscript is simply a fraction of a longer work, unless, as far as I'm aware, the mentioned sources must be cited in the text. If this is the new citation style, please let me know. These are my general comments .