

# Review of: "Expectation of Life at Birth by Sex and Area of Residence in India and Bigger States/UT's (2013-2017): A Review"

Parham Samimisedeh

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

I have read the manuscript titled "Expectation of Life at Birth by Sex and Area of Residence in India and Bigger States/UT's (2013-2017): A Review." I have the following comments:

- 1) I'm afraid the study design is not a review, since the authors used original data from the health ministry of India and analyzed it.
- 2) The manuscript would benefit from editing by a native English speaker to improve clarity and readability.
- 3) The title is unclear. What is meant by "bigger states"? It would be helpful to provide a definition for this term.
- 4) Abbreviations should not be used in the title.
- 5) It would be more interesting if the authors could update their manuscript, since their results are from seven years ago.
- 6) The abstract is not structured and only explains the aim of the study. It would be beneficial for the authors to include information about their methods, a brief report of their results, and the final conclusions they drew.
- 7) The introduction mainly consists of a definition and is not suitable for an academic paper. The introduction should explain the research gap and why the study can address this gap. It should be expanded upon.
- 8) In the methods section, the authors should include their ethics code, details on how they analyzed and interpreted the data, and how they reported their results (e.g., mean and standard deviation).