

Review of: "Research Trends in Mindfulness for Adolescents: Based on CiteSpace Visualization Analysis"

Bhavana Nair¹

¹ Mohammed Bin Rashid University of Medicine and Health Sciences (MBRU)

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Research Trends in Mindfulness for Adolescents: Based on CiteSpace Visualization Analysis

Observations

"This study contributes important information for mindfulness researchers and practitioners to understand research achievements, collaborative networks, and main topics in the research field of mindfulness for adolescents. In terms of future research directions, we need to build on our own cultural roots and carry out the psychological interpretation of mindfulness in the Chinese context"

I would like to start from the concluding statement of this paper where the authors have ended it on an interesting note. My main observations also revolve around this area as there is lesser research and information from China on this topic, and this is an opportunity to reflect on the influence of mindfulness in the country, among adolescents in particular.

For a trend analysis paper, the authors have done full justice to the paper; however, a few points need to be clarified or elaborated upon:

- The Abstract needs to be organized into sections that include a clear objective, methods, results, discussion, and conclusion. A lot of information has resulted from this trend analysis; however, it has not been mentioned in the results section of the Abstract.
- It will be interesting to read what prompted the authors to choose the databases Web of Science (WoS) and the China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI) databases over the popular PubMed/Scopus databases.
- Why were the search keywords for English and Chinese different? Any particular reason?
- The authors have mentioned extracting 1121 documents from the WoS database and 196 documents from the CNKI database; however, only 1105 papers were analyzed. It would add value to this paper to include a section on the inclusion/exclusion criteria utilized to extract articles and why not all the extracted papers were analyzed.
- Also, is there any particular reason why the CiteSpace Visualization Analysis tool was chosen and not the WoS Analysis tool or the VOSviewer?
- The authors could include the below-mentioned paper that has strong evidence of trends to compare and contrast results, as they have looked at papers over 55 years.

Baminiwatta, A., Solangaarachchi, I. Trends and Developments in Mindfulness Research over 55 Years: A Bibliometric

Analysis of Publications Indexed in Web of Science. Mindfulness **12**, 2099–2116 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12671-021-01681-x>

- Can the authors think of any reasoning for fewer collaborative relationships among authors in Chinese literature than in English literature, as mentioned in the findings of this paper? Any influence of culture, maybe? Collectivistic society vs. individualistic society?
- As I mentioned in my first comment, I would like to read more on the perceptions of mindfulness in China, if possible. It could be added at the end under ‘changing trends’ or ‘current trends on mindfulness in China’. This section will definitely add value to the paper.

I would love to read the revised version of the manuscript and wish to thank the authors for their work and the editor for giving me an opportunity to review this interesting paper.