

Review of: "American Mission in Afghanistan: Geopolitical Interests, Strategies and Reasons of Failure"

Sarath Dasanayaka¹

¹ University of Moratuwa

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

1. Articles need more focus and have to follow an article format with a good abstract with five keywords and a good methodology.
2. It is just a literature review; therefore, it specifically has to show your exact contribution to the advancement of the body of knowledge.
3. In many places, intelligent guessing and works of imagination are used, which are very harmful to scientific inquiry.
4. We need more explanations because most of the concluding remarks are very rhetorical.
5. Afghan citizens were not with the Western Army backing the war. Especially no backing for the USA Army because Afghans found they were fighting somebody's war. It is not their war.
6. Every time American weapons come to the Afghan government's side, Russia, Iran, and Pakistan equip the Taliban and other groups with weapons and other support.
7. There is huge corruption in the Afghan government, and the military always helps the Taliban side with many deals.
8. Even many Western foreigners are there to make money by showing poor Afghan girls and women's education, health, and food support labels. Some foreigners made billions.
9. In some US academic institutions in Afghanistan, the admin guys made their whole lives' fortune and removed the cream of the Afghans from the country. Actually, these Afghans could stay in the country and make the change. Finally, it is a business model for many Westerners. I worked as a professor for a few years in Afghanistan; therefore, I know how these business models worked there. As usual, the USA left the country without completing its mission, putting the Afghan people again under the Taliban regime.
10. This article needs some interviews with key stakeholders inside Afghanistan. Then we can trust some of the facts the article presents.
11. Overall, academic rigor, complexity, innovativeness, and clear visibility for the advancement of the body of knowledge must be improved in the next revised version.