

Review of: "Efficient Management of Information Communication Technology Resources in an Organisation"

Ameni Kacem

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

For all over the paper, orthograph should be revised.

Below is my review for each part of the paper :

The introduction

The authors explained the aim of the research in the first sentence. I would rather use this sentence in the summary or the end of the introduction and not from the beginning : *"The goal of this study is to provide readers with a thorough understanding of ICT resource management and the role it plays in achieving organisational success. It explores a range of ICT resource management topics, such as resource planning, acquisition, deployment, monitoring and maintenance, disposal and renewal, governance, and security. To demonstrate how businesses can efficiently manage their ICT resources, the study will examine best practises, strategies, and case studies. By the end of this study, readers will have a strong foundation for managing ICT resources in their own organisations and will be well-equipped with the information to make decisions about their technology infrastructure."*

Literature Review and related works :

I suggest an improvement of the writing style using diverse linking terms. For example, in the related work part, there is a lot of "Moreover" usage which makes this part hard to read.

Some of the papers are listed and generally described without key conclusions of their researches. The authors mentioned what features are used but

This makes the related works very general. The goal of this part is to have a background about what was used but also to be a comparative point to the current study.

Methodology :

A big missing part in the methodology is the description of the different steps. All the steps in the Figure 1 should be explained with more details with text. For instance how the 49 articles were selected in the reliability and validity step ? What are the criteria that were used to judge whether the article is reliable and valid ?

Regarding figures, there are missing parts in the Methodology part. This figure is fundamental to understand the methodology used in this paper. It should contain all the content. For example: In the inclusion step, there is "n =49

articles where” and the sentence is incomplete. This is the case for all the steps in this figure.

Findings

This is the most important and detailed part in the paper. The authors explained very well the findings with references, examples and explanations making the paper interesting. They detailed every component that can be relevant to ICT resources management including challenges.

Also, they mentioned two case studies which I would call “Examples” because case studies are wider than the details presented in this paper. I suggest to remove the term “case studies” in this context and use “examples” instead.

Conclusion and Recommendation

This part is well written and summarizes the findings and the topic of this research.