

# Review of: "[Viewpoint] Vaccination campaigns against Covid-19 may promote vaccine hesitancy toward well-established, safe, and effective vaccines"

Heydar Ali Mardani-Fard<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Yasuj University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

## Summary

The article "Vaccination campaigns against Covid-19 may promote vaccine hesitancy toward well-established, safe, and effective vaccines" presents a viewpoint that explores the potential consequences of Covid-19 vaccination campaigns on vaccine hesitancy. The authors argue that the implementation of Covid-19 vaccination programs, under emergency legislation and with limited safety assessments, may inadvertently lead to public distrust and vaccine hesitancy. They emphasize the need for transparency, data sharing, and open debate to counteract the potential negative impact on public perception of vaccines. While the topic is pertinent and the authors raise thought-provoking points, there are areas in which the article could be strengthened to provide a more comprehensive and well-supported analysis.

## Strengths:

- **Relevance:** The article addresses a timely and relevant issue by discussing the implications of Covid-19 vaccination campaigns on public perception of vaccines. This topic is of significant interest given the global efforts to combat the pandemic.
- **Critical Analysis:** The authors present a critical analysis of the potential challenges arising from Covid-19 vaccination campaigns. They highlight concerns related to emergency authorization, limited safety assessments, and transparency.
- **Recommendations for Improvement:** The article suggests actionable recommendations, such as releasing raw data, promoting further research, and facilitating open debate. These recommendations align with principles of scientific transparency and informed decision-making.

## Suggestions for Improvement:

- **Clarity of Argumentation:** The article's argumentation could be clarified for better coherence and flow. The points raised in different sections could be more logically connected to create a seamless narrative.
- **Supporting Evidence:** While the authors present intriguing viewpoints, more substantial evidence and references

could be incorporated to substantiate claims. This would enhance the credibility of the argument presented.

- **Balanced Analysis:** To provide a comprehensive evaluation, the article could also acknowledge the positive aspects and successes of Covid-19 vaccination campaigns. Balancing the discussion could lend more credibility to the overall analysis.
- **Elaboration on Potential Solutions:** The recommendations for open debate, data sharing, and further research are valuable, but the article could benefit from a deeper exploration of how these actions could mitigate the perceived negative impact on vaccine hesitancy.