

Review of: "Acacia pycnantha gum exudates recognised as a traditional food in two countries may have economic potential"

Amarachi Agbafor¹

1 Baze University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Rewrite the abstract to include Background, methods, results, and conclusions.

Avoid unnecessary introduction in the materials and methods. Move that part to the introductory section. Break the text into smaller paragraphs for easier readability. Each paragraph should focus on a specific aspect or point.

Quantitative data, like the yield of gum collected per tree, could be presented more precisely (e.g., by providing an average yield with a range). Include a section discussing limitations, such as factors affecting gum production or any challenges faced during data collection or experimentation.

While you mention the need for further research, explicitly outline potential directions for future studies to enhance the economic viability of Acacia pycnantha gum.

Conclusion: Reinforce key findings and their implications, emphasizing the need for further investigation while summarizing the main points concisely.

In summary, your work appears comprehensive and informative, offering valuable insights into the potential uses and challenges associated with Acacia pycnantha gum. However, it is important to refine the aspects highlighted to enhance the clarity and impact of your research. Major revisions are required.

Qeios ID: ROS34S · https://doi.org/10.32388/ROS34S