Review of: "Hybrid Approach - A 21st Century Skill in Science Education"

Seyyed Mohammad Ali Soozandehfar[1]

1 University of Hormozgan

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

While the content is well-intentioned and highlights important concepts, there are a few areas where clarity, organization, and balance could be improved:

Citation and Referencing: Throughout the text, you mention various studies and sources, but you don't provide specific citations or references to support your claims. Including proper citations would lend credibility to your statements and allow readers to further explore the research you're referring to.

Clarity and Precision: Some parts of the text could benefit from greater clarity and precision. For example, in the section discussing "learning beyond content," it would be helpful to provide more concrete examples of how skills like emotional intelligence, entrepreneurship, and digital literacy can be integrated into the curriculum.

Transition and Flow: The text could benefit from improved transitions between ideas and paragraphs. It jumps from discussing the importance of various skills to describing blended learning and flipped classrooms without a clear transition. Consider using topic sentences and transitional phrases to guide the reader through your argument.

Balanced Critique: While you highlight the benefits of blended learning and flipped classrooms, it would be helpful to provide a more balanced view by acknowledging potential challenges or criticisms associated with these approaches. This can demonstrate a comprehensive understanding of the topic.

Application and Context: The text mentions the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 and its focus on student-centric education. However, it would be valuable to provide more context on how this policy has been implemented and any challenges or successes observed in its application.

Depth of Analysis: The discussion of flipped classrooms and blended learning could be expanded to delve into the specific advantages, disadvantages, and practical considerations associated with these teaching methods. This would provide a more comprehensive understanding for readers who may not be familiar with these concepts.

Engaging Opening and Conclusion: The text could benefit from a more engaging and concise opening that clearly introduces the topic and sets the stage for the discussion. Additionally, a succinct conclusion could summarize the main points and emphasize the significance of fostering inquisitive learning.

Avoid Generalizations: Statements like "The traditional classroom or rote learning is an outdated way to educate

students" might be seen as overly broad or dismissive. It's important to acknowledge that traditional teaching methods can still have value when used appropriately in conjunction with innovative approaches.

By addressing these areas, your text could become even more informative, engaging, and well-rounded, providing readers with a deeper understanding of the concepts you're discussing.

With regard to your method, your study demonstrates an interesting approach to engaging students in science learning through topic selection and presentation. However, there are a few aspects that could be addressed to strengthen the clarity and depth of your report:

Research Methodology and Design: While you provide details about the study phases and activities, you could further elaborate on the rationale behind your approach. Why did you choose these specific topics? How were the students' choices and presentations assessed? Including more about the research design and methodology would enhance the study's rigor.

Limitations and Implications: It would be beneficial to discuss the limitations of your study. For instance, your sample size was small, and the study was conducted in a specific setting. Discussing these limitations can help readers understand the generalizability of your findings. Additionally, consider discussing the potential implications of your study's results for future research or educational practices.

Connection to Existing Literature: Your study builds on the concept of fostering curiosity and inquisitive learning. Expanding your discussion to include how your findings align with or contribute to existing literature on student engagement, active learning, and curiosity-driven education would provide a broader context for your work.

Evaluation and Rubric: While you briefly mention the use of a rubric for evaluating presentation skills, you could provide more detail on how the rubric was developed, its specific criteria, and the process of evaluation. This would add depth to your assessment approach.

Discussion of Engagement: You mention that students enjoyed the activity and proposed incorporating similar activities into the course content. Further discussing how this increased engagement could impact their long-term interest in science, retention of knowledge, and overall learning experience would strengthen your conclusions.

Qualitative Insights: Incorporating qualitative insights, such as direct quotes from students or facilitators about their experiences and observations during the activity, can add a human element to your study and provide richer context.

Future Directions: Concluding with a discussion on potential future research directions based on the insights gained from this study could enhance the overall significance of your work.

By addressing these areas, your study could provide a more comprehensive understanding of the impact of your teaching approach and contribute to the ongoing conversation about effective strategies for promoting inquisitive learning in science education.