

Peer Review

Review of: "Nutritional Status of Women in Cameroon: Status Report Among Women in the City of Yaoundé"

Indrapal Meshram¹

1. National Institute of Nutrition, Hyderabad, India

Reviewer comments

Methods are not clear

Although purposive sampling is mentioned, how samples were selected is not clear. Also, this is not the correct method of sampling.

How the sample size was calculated is not mentioned.

How the women in the HH were selected is not clear.

How height and weight were measured is not mentioned.

What equipment was used is not mentioned.

Who has collected the data is not clear.

Socioeconomic data is also only for a few variables.

WHR defines an increased risk of developing metabolic diseases for values between 0.50 to 0.60 and a substantial risk for values above 0.60; which classification is this?

In tables, brackets are not placed properly.

In percentage, a comma is given instead of a point, e.g., 30,3 should be 30.3.

Percent calculation is also wrong.

Under marital status, the total number is 100, although only 98 are covered.

In Fig. 1, the total percent for BMI is $1+53+31+12=97$ only

The paper is not written properly.

Sociodemographic profile is written after the discussion.

Impression: not worthy of publication.

Declarations

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.