

Review of: "Measuring researchers' success more fairly: going beyond the H-index"

Swapan Kumar Patra¹

1 Sidho-Kanho-Birsha University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The H-index is widely used measure to evaluate researchers' performance. It is quite simplistic measure and perhaps quite easy to use and understand. However, it has many criticisms and the scholars worldwide consider that it should not be used as the sole metric for evaluating the success of researchers. Alternatively, more diverse set of metrics and approaches should be used to ensure that researchers are evaluated fairly and accurately. In this context this approach could play to role to fill the void. This is a very simplified measurement to access the researchers' productivity in each filed.

However, the proposed indicator needs to be validated with empirical evidences. This could be done using bibliographic data from the widely available indexing and citation databases.

Also, it essential to be tested with the various disciplines for example, science, social science etc. Generally, science field, particularly the biological science, get more citation than the other filed for example, the social science etc. So, the robustness of this metric is to be validated with the large dataset from the diverse field of knowledge.

A possible inclusion of 'Altmetrics Score' will add value to this study.

Qeios ID: RS5KTM · https://doi.org/10.32388/RS5KTM