Review of: "Violent inclusion of oxymora: An argument for a cultural-cognitive linguistics"

Pilljin Yoo[1]

1 Sungkyunkwan University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

I reviewed the previous article and am glad to have read your revised one. I find it more readable and clearer in presenting your argument, especially when compared to your last one. This article offers a formula (or a rule) for identifying whether an oxymoronic complex can be formed within a certain concept. Applying this to more concepts that may encompass oxymoronic meanings would help in verifying and generalizing it.

Chapter 4, "Border as Space, Instrument, and Method," suggests a very interesting point in addressing a question I have been concerned with: the (im)possibility of the oxymoronic structure in language, particularly in terms of contradiction in signification ("co-occuring and linked" and the association). However, I may be digressing. I'd like to express my appreciation for this insightful chapter and the helpful references provided.