

Review of: "Public health efficiency and well-being in Italian provinces"

Sadia Hanif

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Dear Author

kindly consider the following review.

The article needs to restructure in a way to make it more readable.

The article includes so many methodologies in a confusing way. This article can be split in more articles for focus and clarity.

It do not include any research question or objectives.

the Introduction is so cumbersome, too many jumps in writings. The problem statement/definition is not clear.

the definitions of well being and quality of is from very old references. it is good to define them in light of latest literature specially w.r.t Sustainable development goals SDGs and United Nations reports and white papers.

Also there is mix if so many concepts in health and well being. it is good to be focus and define using latest literature and minimize the old literature in few lines.

Do not use 'I', instead generalise such statements.

There is too much confusion in understanding methodology in introduction section. make it simple in bullets. Further make separate section of methodology and show with figures to make the steps of methodology clear.

The literature review or theoretical background is not clear, there are no hypothesis and clarity of theoretical gap that you want to address.

currently most of research is using softwares to deal with DEA. so just write down the general formula and discuss the elements of DEA software that you are using and also show in tabulation. Discuss that which software you are using i.e its version/model and the name, and how you are using?

Depending upon the above section update the paper. and resubmit for further review.

