

Review of: "The anti-staphylococcal activity of probiotic- contain gelatin and whey coatings on processed chicken breast"

Dave Mangindaan¹

¹ Binus University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Overall, the paper is too short, the results are not significant, must be tremendously revised in many aspects.

1. Abstract: The name of the TWO microorganisms are not written properly, they are needed to be separated using space bars.
2. Section 2.3: CoatING solutions.... by DISSolving 10%...The gelatin coating solution was...
3. Why does the content of Escherichia coli (Gram negative) is not checked? This is important to have not just the profile of Gram positive bacteria (Staphylococcus aureus) but also the Gram negative bacteria.
4. Figure 1: Virtually, there is no significant difference between the samples, due to very long error bar? All of the CFU results for different treatment at different duration are overlapping each other. Therefore, the numbers in Table 1 must be recalculated.
5. Figure 1: For day 1 and day 15, many of the treatments have error bars reach "negative CFU" (negative y-axis), but they are not cut at y= 0. This is quite strange.
6. Figure 1 legend: Change "why" to "whey"
7. Figure 1 legend: Please write Bifidum with lowercase b, because it is not a genus.
8. Reference 3: The list of authors contains error in writing the name of authors.
9. Reference 4: The list of authors contains error in writing the name of authors.
10. Reference 8: The list of authors contains error in writing the name of authors.
11. Reference 10: The list of authors contains error in writing the name of authors.
12. Reference 12: The list of authors contains error in writing the name of authors.
13. Reference 14: The list of authors contains error in writing the name of authors.
14. Reference 16: The list of authors contains error in writing the name of authors.
15. Reference 19: The list of authors contains error in writing the name of authors.
16. Reference 20: The li st of authors contains error in writing the name of authors.
17. Reference 23: The list of authors contains error in writing the name of authors.
18. Reference 24: The list of authors contains error in writing the name of authors.
19. Reference 26: The list of authors contains error in writing the name of authors.
20. Reference 29: The list of authors contains error in writing the name of authors.

21. Reference 30: The list of authors contains error in writing the name of authors.
22. Reference 31: The list of authors contains error in writing the name of authors.
23. Reference 32: The list of authors contains error in writing the name of authors.
24. Reference 33: The list of authors contains error in writing the name of authors.
25. Reference 34: The list of authors contains error in writing the name of authors.