

## Review of: "Blockchain EV Payment Systems: A Systematic Literature Review in Retail Energy Trading"

## Norazha Paiman<sup>1</sup>

1 Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

- 1. After carefully reviewing your work, I regret to inform you that it is not currently suitable for publication. While your research shows promise, there are several areas that require improvement before it can be considered for publication. Firstly, the abstract provided is too concise and lacks sufficient detail. An abstract should serve as a concise summary of the entire paper, providing readers with a clear understanding of the purpose, methodology, findings, and conclusions. In your case, it would be beneficial to expand on the following aspects within the abstract:
- Clearly state the overall purpose of your study, outlining the specific research objectives and goals.
- Provide a brief overview of the basic methodology employed in your research. This should include the key techniques, data sources, and any unique approaches utilized.
- Summarize the major findings resulting from your analysis. Highlight the most significant results, trends, or correlations that emerged from your research.
- Offer a succinct summary of your interpretations and conclusions based on the findings. Discuss the implications of your research and its potential contributions to the field.
- 2. Secondly, it is important to clearly state the main contributions of your paper at the end of the introduction section. This will help readers understand the significance of your research and the unique aspects it brings to the field. Clearly articulate how your study fills a gap in existing knowledge, addresses a research question, or presents novel findings or methodologies. Providing a concise summary of your contributions will enhance the clarity and impact of your paper.
- 3. Thirdly, I strongly recommend that you include a well-developed conclusion section in your manuscript.
- 4. Lastly, highly recommend that you have the language of your paper checked by a native English speaker or an individual with strong English language proficiency to ensure clarity and accuracy.

Qeios ID: S1OGJB · https://doi.org/10.32388/S1OGJB