

Review of: "Study of four families of the suborder Brachycera (Insecta: Diptera)"

Rafael Guzman¹

¹ Universidad de Guanajuato

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

1. Food niches, Change to Trophic guilds
2. "Many dipterans play an important ecological role, especially as natural enemies of various organisms. Certain species have great economic, forensic, medical, and veterinary importance". In this paragraph, it is important to point to specific examples, mentioning particular dipteran species; this information reinforces the objective.
3. "we worked with scientific articles published in national and international journals". Change to: A wide literature review was made from national to international journals contained in the principal databases of knowledge.
4. "Considering only this section constitutes a limitation of the study since articles belonging to journals that integrate other sections of the electronic library could also contribute to the discussion of knowledge production and the writing of interpretative syntheses of each theme". It is important to explain the technical reasons why that consideration is important.
5. In methods, explain why two selected studies or manuscripts were chosen.
6. Change: 3. Family Asteiide, to 3. Results, then continue with the sequence.
7. Provide the same data describing the characteristics of the families; in some cases, it includes distribution or life cycle, and in others, it does not.
8. Homogenize subtitles: selected manuscript or selected studies, but not both.
9. Lauxaniidae. En el estudio 2, explicar si es una familia de moscas benéficas o plagas.
10. Lauxaniidae. In study 3, focus on the family, so the information should be synthesized and not address other groups.
11. Mydidae. "Subtitle: The following study were selected", please use the same subtitle for this section: Selected studies, manuscripts, whatever but the same.
12. In "Phase Pharate," explain its importance for the family because this section does not appear in the other families of flies.
13. At the end of the results, place a table with comparative data between the traits of the families.
14. The conclusions refer to a capture method, but there is nothing derived from the method in the results, and it is not mentioned in the methods. It is better to write the conclusions based on the literature review and not write this as if it had been field or experimental work.
15. In "Other references," the year of publication of a source is missing.

Please, to the Editor of Qeios, could you send me a certificate as a reviewer? Greetings

