Review Article

Mitochondrial Dynamics in Regressive Autism & the Surprising Link to Genius

Caroline Rodgers[1]

1. Independent researcher

Regression in autism spectrum disorder (ASD) remains a perplexing and emotionally wrenching phenomenon, often involving the sudden loss of language and social skills in previously thriving toddlers. While known genetic and metabolic disorders explain a minority of cases, most instances of regressive ASD defy understanding. At the opposite end of the spectrum, some individuals demonstrate remarkable abilities — occasionally rising to the level of genius. This paper presents a unifying biological explanation for both extremes: That each results from an overabundance of synapses relative to the mitochondrial capacity required to support them. In regression, insufficient energy supply may force the pausing or pruning of energy-intensive neural circuits, particularly those governing language and social engagement. Conversely, in a rare subset, individuals endowed with a robust mitochondrial population can sustain an otherwise unsupportable synaptic load, enabling the emergence of exceptional cognitive or artistic talent. This reframes regression as a protective metabolic effort and proposes that genius emerges when the brain's synaptic excess is met with an unusually resilient energy system capable of sustaining it.

Corresponding author: Caroline C. Rodgers, caroline.rodgers@rocketmail.com

Introduction

In efforts to understand the cause of autism spectrum disorder (ASD), regression remains one of its most enigmatic and heartbreaking manifestations. Many parents report that their toddler not only meets developmental milestones but displays precocious verbal and social skills, only to suddenly regress in speech and/or social engagement.[1] Too often, these losses prove to be persistent.[2] In one gene sequencing study, only 11.2 percent of children who regressed were found to carry potentially pathogenic genetic variants, [3] while strictly defined mitochondrial disease affects about 5 percent of individuals

 doi.org/10.32388/S5NHH0

across the broader autism spectrum.[4] These findings suggest that the vast majority of children who experience regression lack an identifiable genetic or metabolic cause linked to the loss of acquired skills.

ASD encompasses a wide range of neurodevelopmental conditions with diverse abilities and presentations. At one end lies ASD with severe intellectual disability; at the other, a subset of individuals historically diagnosed with Asperger's syndrome demonstrate strong cognitive or artistic abilities. These seemingly disparate conditions were brought under a single diagnostic umbrella in the 2013 revision of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5), the standard reference for diagnosing and understanding neurodevelopmental disorders, based on shared core features, including restricted or repetitive behaviors and persistent difficulties in social interaction. [5] The DSM-5 does not address a subset of people who have long fascinated the public and scientists alike: Autistic savants – individuals with such prodigious talent that, in rare cases, they achieve genius. [6] This paper proposes that each extreme arises from the same biological mechanism — the overabundance of synapses relative to the mitochondrial capacity required to sustain them.

In the case of regression, this imbalance may trigger the emergency pausing or elimination of energy-intensive synapses – particularly those supporting verbal and social development. In contrast, the autistic savant may possess a uniquely robust mitochondrial population capable of supporting a synaptic load that would be otherwise unsustainable, enabling the development of exceptional abilities in domains such as memory, mathematics, spatial reasoning or the arts. What each extreme has in common is an overabundance of synapses; what separates them is the mitochondrial capacity to fuel those connections.

This article reviews evidence that regressive ASD is more common than generally acknowledged; explains why insufficient synaptic pruning puts children with ASD at risk of regression; and explores how a fortuitous mitochondrial inheritance may empower the extraordinary abilities observed in savants. This unifying hypothesis reframes regression not as a manifestation of pathology but as a protective emergency response to an unsustainable metabolic demand and offers a credible explanation for geniuses.

Autistic regression: Rare or common?

Autistic regression has long been characterized as affecting a minority of children on the spectrum, with retroactive studies finding that about 30 percent of children with ASD experience a loss of acquired

skills. [7] However, a review of prospective studies tells a different story, finding indications that some 80 percent of children with ASD experience declines in communication and social behaviors in early childhood, which may include loss of verbal, social, or motor abilities.[1] Researchers attribute this shift in understanding to the greater accuracy of prospective versus retrospective studies, plus differences in the timing and extent of loss. [8]

Among children who regress, the most notable cases are those who experience a sudden and dramatic loss of abilities, yet for many others the process is more gradual, staggered, or domain specific. Language may fade slowly, social engagement may wane over months, or motor milestones may plateau rather than reverse. In some cases, regression affects a single area —such as loss of eye contact — with other abilities remaining intact.[1]

While regression most often occurs in early childhood, it is found in a small subset of teenagers. Late regression can involve severe functional collapse consistent with catatonia. In documented cases, some adolescents showed at least partial improvement in response to medication, behavioral support, or electroconvulsive therapy. [9] Although late regression is rare, it may occur among children who barely managed their synaptic overabundance during toddlerhood but whose mitochondria fail to supply enough energy when cognitive, social, and environmental demands increase. In this view, regression is not a single event but a dynamic tipping point, triggered when energy demands finally outpace supply.

A burst of connections: The childhood brain

Synapses — the junctions for exchanging information between neurons — begin forming as early as eight weeks into gestation. This process gains momentum and complexity during the second and third trimesters, [10] accelerating further throughout infancy and early childhood, when the postnatal flood of sensory, motor, verbal and social information is encountered, memories are formed, and cognitive capacities develop. [11] This rapid proliferation results in a surplus of synaptic density that is metabolically demanding and inherently temporary. Optimizing neural efficiency by reducing synapses is both a normal and necessary process.

Although synaptic pruning unfolds over the first two decades of life, a substantial portion occurs during the first two years, when the brain is at its most metabolically demanding. [12] One study showed that individuals with autism exhibited significantly reduced synaptic pruning compared to neurotypical controls, with dendritic spine density decreasing by only 16 percent over the first two decades of life,

versus 41 percent in controls. [13] The overabundance of synapses in children with ASD is well established and appears to be a core neurologic feature, revealing the brain's failure to streamline its neural architecture. [13] When mitochondrial output cannot meet escalating energy demands, particularly amid growing developmental complexity, this synaptic surplus may pose a serious metabolic challenge.

Before adequate pruning takes place, this surplus of synaptic connections may manifest as exceptional ability, particularly in language and social understanding. Children who are able to power a greater than normal number of synapses may appear to be, quite literally, "firing on all cylinders." However, this neural hyperconnectivity likely incurs a steep metabolic cost. As toddlers encounter increasingly complex learning demands, their energy requirements escalate dramatically. It is plausible that when these demands exceed the mitochondrial capacity to supply sufficient energy, the body initiates a triage response: Downregulating the most energy-intensive neural circuits that are not essential for basic survival. In regression, it is the high-demand circuits integral to language and social engagement that may be the first to dim. [12][14]

When 'mighty' mitochondria falter and fail

Although mitochondria are inherited solely from the mother via her ovum, paternal DNA can indirectly affect mitochondrial function. [15] These tiny organelles serve as the cell's primary energy producers – converting oxygen and nutrients into adenosine triphosphate (ATP), the molecular fuel that drives virtually all cellular processes. [16] From neurotransmission to developmental pruning, ATP underwrites the brain's early remodeling. [14] Nuclear DNA from both parents plays a critical role in regulating mitochondrial function, encoding the vast majority of proteins responsible for energy production, maintenance, and cellular coordination.[4] Each cell contains hundreds to thousands of mitochondria, and variations or mutations can be present in some but not others. This uneven distribution can result in differences in mitochondrial performance among siblings and other family members. [16] Epigenetic changes passed down through either parental germline can further shape these regulatory pathways. [15] As a result, each child's energy economy is the unique product of an intricate genomic choreography, one that may either amplify or buffer vulnerabilities embedded in the mitochondrial inheritance.

An animal study provides interesting insights into individual variation in mitochondrial efficiency. Researchers demonstrated that fish with measurably more efficient mitochondria grew faster and accumulated more protein than their peers even though their food intake and tank conditions were

identical, suggesting that mitochondria have a major impact on development. [17] Although caution must be exercised in applying animal studies to humans, this effect raises the possibility that children with a robust mitochondrial inheritance may be better equipped to fuel rapid synaptic expansion without collapsing under metabolic strain.

Support for this comes from a small, compelling study of mitochondrial respiration in children with autism, their unaffected siblings, and their parents. Children with regressive ASD, unlike their unaffected siblings, displayed elevated baseline mitochondrial respiration — an indicator of cellular stress. Notably, both parents of ASD children who regressed also exhibited atypical mitochondrial respiration. [14] While this study was limited in scale, the consistency of findings raises important questions about how mitochondrial fitness may determine developmental trajectories.

These findings cast mitochondria not just as the cell's powerhouses, but as conductors of neurodevelopment, especially in the brain's early years when energy demands are both high and constantly shifting. [12][18] To meet these demands, mitochondria must stay in motion: They fuse to share resources, divide to isolate damage, and migrate locally to where energy is needed most. This dynamic shape-shifting helps ensure a steady supply of ATP. [19]

When all is working smoothly, synaptic pruning proceeds efficiently. But when mitochondrial performance begins to falter, the pruning process becomes vulnerable to a cascade of disruptions resulting in a persistent overabundance of synapses. Here are some of the ways that pruning can become impaired:

- **Delayed pruning due to impaired microglial tagging and elimination:** Microglia rely on molecular protein tags to identify which synapses should be removed. When this tagging system is disrupted, pruning may be delayed or incomplete, leaving behind excess or inefficient connections. [20]
- **Failure to clear away synaptic debris:** Even when pruning occurs, microglia must efficiently remove the remnants. If this cleanup process is impaired, debris can accumulate, triggering inflammation and further disrupting synaptic maintenance. [21]
- **Functionally inappropriate pruning:** Microglial dysfunction may also lead to errors in which synapses are targeted. Rather than selectively removing weak or redundant connections, microglia may prune indiscriminately or fail to prune circuits that should be refined, resulting in maladaptive wiring. [22]

- **Regional pruning imbalances:** Pruning may proceed normally in some brain regions while remaining deficient in others. For example, local sensory circuits may remain overly dense, leading to sensory overload, while long-range integrative pathways are underdeveloped. [23]
- **Activity-dependent pruning under metabolic stress:** In typical development, active synapses are stabilized while inactive ones are eliminated. High-demand circuits — especially those supporting language and social engagement — consume the most energy. [24] If ATP production falters, these circuits may be selectively downregulated to conserve resources, making them paradoxically more at risk of pruning despite their importance.[25]

These vulnerabilities reveal that there are many pathways to developing the synaptic overabundance found in autism. When one or more of these factors are present and coincide with mitochondrial fragility, they set the stage for sudden regression, uneven development, or persistent inefficiencies that can cause cognition or behavior to fluctuate. [7]

Upstream disruptors of synaptic pruning

While pruning errors can take many forms — delayed, misdirected, or metabolically driven — they rarely occur in isolation. Increasingly, research points to a set of upstream biological stressors that compromise the brain's ability to regulate synaptic refinement. Rather than random glitches, these may be the metabolic consequences of an overtaxed power system. Among the most studied contributors are:

- **Oxidative Stress**: Mitochondria naturally produce reactive oxygen species (ROS) as a byproduct of ATP production. But when ROS levels exceed the cell's antioxidant defenses, evidence suggests they can damage mitochondrial DNA, proteins, and membranes. This cellular debris triggers a vicious cycle of inflammation and mitochondrial dysfunction, which can escalate into an immune response that together, further impair synaptic pruning. In the developing brain, chronic oxidative stress has been linked to impaired synaptic pruning and increased risk of neurodevelopmental disorders involving regression. [26]
- **Epigenetic Dysregulation**: Mitochondrial function is tightly regulated by nuclear genes—and those genes are, in turn, influenced by epigenetic mechanisms such as DNA methylation and histone modification, which can alter gene expression. Disruptions in these regulatory layers can reduce the expression of key mitochondrial proteins, alter energy metabolism, and impair the pruning of

synapses. [27] Research has identified epigenetic influences in both mothers and fathers associated with ASD. [15]

- **Aberrant Signaling:** The mTOR pathway is a key molecular regulator of cellular growth and metabolism and must be tightly controlled during brain development. When this pathway is overactivated, as can happen in ASD, it can suppress autophagy, disrupt mitochondrial dynamics, and interfere with synaptic pruning. [13] Compounding the issue, it may also promote excessive dendritic growth and spine formation, which can exacerbate synaptic overabundance and further strain the brain's metabolic resources. [28]

What about genetics?

Although autism is considered highly heritable, from 80 to 90 percent of cases are idiopathic — meaning no single genetic mutation can explain them, even in families where the condition arises without prior history. [29] This paradox can be explained by the presence of epigenetic changes – chemical modifications caused by aging, pollutants, or other environmental stressors – that change how DNA is interpreted without altering the DNA itself. In this way, a child can inherit a familiar genetic blueprint, but the instructions for reading that information are obscured or altered, changing the developmental outcome in ways traditional inheritance cannot explain.

A recent study identified a molecular mechanism involving the neuronal protein CPEB4 that, while not a "classic" epigenetic change, may help explain non-inherited cases. Researchers discovered that the absence of a tiny segment of genetic material — a neuronal microexon — disrupted how CPEB4 regulates gene expression, impairing neuronal development even in the absence of DNA mutations. [30]

While this hypothesis began by establishing that genes related to either regression risk or mitochondrial disease accounted for a small minority of regressive cases, broader genetic evidence reveals a more unified landscape. Many high-confidence autism genes affect how synapses form and function or are linked to mitochondrial dysfunction that may not rise to the level of disease.

For instance, mutations in SHANK3 are among the most frequently identified single-gene causes of autism, affecting synaptic scaffolding and communication between neurons. Variations in other genes, like NRXN1, CHD8, and SYNGAP1, influence how neurons connect, mature, and respond to developmental cues, while still other genes impact how cells manage energy and oxidative stress. [31]

Rather than considering these genetic findings in isolation, their impact may depend on mitochondrial fitness. In this view, it is the brain's energy economy that determines which circuits thrive, stall or are eliminated. Each outcome represents a dynamic response, yet each can have starkly different lasting consequences.

The genius effect: Abundant synapses powered by sufficient energy

If synaptic overgrowth paired with mitochondrial insufficiency leads to regression, what happens when energy supply meets demand? This hypothesis proposes that in rare instances, children with overabundant synapses and robust mitochondrial support do not falter but instead harness their neural richness to develop prodigious abilities. From calendrical calculation to pitch-perfect musical memory, their savant-like talents may not represent spontaneous genius but rather the natural expression of a brain with surplus synapses and the capacity to fully power them.

It is therefore not surprising that researchers found either no evidence of regression among individuals diagnosed with Asperger's, [32] or have observed that regression was less common among those with greater intellectual abilities compared to individuals with profound autism.[2] This subgroup may correspond to the small percentage of children – consistently fewer than 20 percent -- who showed no sign of regression in prospective studies. [1] While their ASD diagnosis depends upon the presence of core symptoms such as circumscribed interests and reduced social engagement, the non-regressed, high-functioning individuals on the spectrum may exhibit superior cognitive abilities in verbal reasoning, abstract thinking or systemizing. [33] In fact, many are exceptionally verbal individuals – as though a preponderance of synapses relating to language development were not only spared the normal amount of pruning but were strengthened in a way that became a persistent characteristic. In contrast to the 33 percent of people with ASD who are minimally verbal or entirely nonverbal, [34] this subgroup can exhibit expository or elaborate speech styles featuring rich vocabularies and great detail. [35]

High functioning individuals with a command of one or more subjects may be considered outstanding but not exceptional – a designation that would be reserved for people with savant capabilities. The first documented account of savants appeared in 1887, and since then scholars have proposed many competing explanations. One review catalogued 14 different theories, concluding that no single model accounts for the full range of savant capabilities. While it is estimated that 1 in 10 individuals on the

spectrum have savant abilities, only a small fraction of those arise to the point of genius – an occurrence that continues to elude investigators. [36]

Two widely recognized autistic savants who have also been characterized as geniuses are found in the arts: Stephen Wiltshire, celebrated for the intricate cityscapes he draws freehand after a single brief viewing, and musician Tony DeBlois, who not only mastered 20 instruments and memorized more than 8,000 pieces, but can fluidly adapt any composition across musical styles. In both cases their talents, while offering glimpses into human potential, have defied scientific explanation and have been featured more widely in news stories than in peer-reviewed studies.

Genius-level abilities in art, music or mathematics are often associated with right-hemisphere processing and may involve atypical brain connectivity or compensatory mechanisms. For instance, injuries to the left hemisphere may lead to enhanced right-hemisphere processing. Alternatively, uneven synaptic development, already documented in ASD, may amplify certain regional capacities. [37] Yet although people on the spectrum are thought to account for at least 50 percent of those with savant syndrome, there are others who either were born that way with no evidence of ASD or who acquire it due to stroke, various kinds of brain injuries or even neurodegeneration that force specific regions to compensate, sometimes resulting in unexpected abilities. [6][38] Given that most individuals facing these challenges do not develop exceptional abilities, mitochondrial robustness and resilience could provide an explanation for those who do. Nonetheless, the wider distribution of savants outside of ASD reinforces the idea that savant traits can be a manifestation of bioenergetic robustness. In the case of savants with ASD, they may reflect a brain wired for excess and fueled to sustain it.

Another relevant group is child prodigies. These individuals demonstrate astounding skills early in life, only to sometimes see their abilities fade or even dissolve in adulthood, a decline that has typically been attributed to social factors or changing interests. [37] However, an alternative explanation might be late-emerging mitochondrial exhaustion — a speculative but biologically plausible mechanism. As developmental demands increase and synaptic networks grow more complex, even a once-robust energy system may begin to falter.

Taken together, these observations support a unifying model: Extraordinary abilities arise when an overabundance of synapses is matched by an energy system robustly capable of sustaining them. When this convergence occurs in a brain with asymmetric wiring, as seen in many individuals on the spectrum, the result may be not just competence but brilliance — a mind that doesn't merely function, but dazzles

with seemingly unbounded ability. In the case of disparate speech capacities found among people on the spectrum, the paradox of silence, delay and eloquence may stem from the differential capacity to energize an abundant synaptic landscape.

A final note on the origins of genius: While intelligence may run in families, genes may have little to do with true genius. Rather, genius may result from a developmental anomaly — an excess of synapses sustained by rare mitochondrial strength, appearing once, if ever, in a family line.

Discussion

The human brain, though comprising only about 2 percent of total body mass, consumes roughly 20 percent of the body's energy — a disproportion that underscores its metabolic intensity. [39][40] Yet even this figure underestimates the true energy burden during early life. In toddlers and young children, explosive synaptogenesis and circuit refinement account for well over 60 percent of total resting energy use and slightly more than 40 percent when physical growth and activity are factored in. [41] Streamlining these networks is essential.

This developmental energy economy plays a crucial role in shaping neurodevelopmental pathways. While this article has focused on the extreme ends of the spectrum, there is evidence that the fates of those in between – individuals with ASD who neither regress nor display Asperger-like abilities – are likewise shaped by mitochondrial strength. In the study that matched children with regressive autism with similar mitochondrial profiles in both parents, the children with ASD who had not regressed had mitochondrial profiles that were closer to their unaffected siblings. [14]

The cost of routine synaptic pruning may be "childhood amnesia," the common inability of people to recall events from infancy or very early childhood. Intriguing evidence suggests that people on the spectrum may retain more of these synapses than neurotypicals. In one study of autobiographical memory, participants with ASD recalled events beginning at a mean age of 2.9 years, compared to 3.76 years for neurotypical controls. [42] Such early recall may signal not just rare memory retention but evidence of under-pruning — the preservation of synapses that are typically eliminated.

A recent comparison of regressed and non-regressed individuals with ASD found that mitochondrial dysfunction was more prevalent in the regressed group, while the non-regressed group exhibited higher levels of inflammatory markers. This pattern suggests that metabolic resilience may come with an inflammatory cost: As mitochondria strain to sustain elevated synaptic loads, byproducts such as ROS

and waste buildup may trigger inflammation, provoking immune activation as energy demands get too high. [43] Notably, regression tends to occur during a narrow and energy-intensive period of development. A meta-analysis of nearly 30,000 children on the spectrum found that the onset of regression usually occurs between 15 and 30 months of age, with a mean of 21 months [2] — suggesting a possible tipping point when energy demands exceed supply.

For children on the spectrum who escape early regression, the continuance of an adequate energy supply is not guaranteed. When it falters, the brain may yet retreat into protective conservation. [14] Critically, this cortical downregulation may not only lead to reductions in verbal ability and social engagement [12] but also may prompt a compensatory amplification of subcortical sensory pathways. [13] These sensory networks – particularly those governing tactile, auditory and visual input – are critical to basic survival, enabling rapid detection of environmental threats and guiding instinctive responses. [24][25] When higher-order circuits dim in response to a network load crisis, primitive subcortical systems may receive increased input, resulting in a redirection of energy that allows these synapses to become more dominant. This would be consistent with patterns observed in neuroimaging studies of PTSD and autism, where sensory amplification may reflect shifts in regulatory balance. [13] It is interesting to note that the high prevalence of sensory issues among people with ASD corresponds with recent estimates of the proportion who experience some degree of regression, with both figures reported in the 80 to 90 percent range. [1][44]

Higher order, energy-intensive circuits may not be eliminated outright when mitochondrial reserves falter. Instead, they may enter a metabolically suspended state – a kind of neural brownout designed to conserve system integrity. This developmental pause could manifest as speech delay, a common early indicator of ASD. [45] Yet prolonged inactivity can have consequences: Circuits left dormant for too long may be tagged for elimination, as microglia mistake underused connections as expendable. In this way, the brain's adaptive conservation strategy may inadvertently trigger structural loss, particularly in systems vital to social and linguistic development.

Conclusion

Evidence suggests that whether a child with ASD regresses or develops astonishing abilities may depend on the resilience of mitochondria to support a surplus of neuronal connections. While many factors shape synaptic pruning and energy availability, it may be the brain's adaptive response to shifting

metabolic demands that ultimately determines whether synaptic overgrowth results in collapse or brilliance. In this light, regression, genius, and everything in between may reflect how the brain responds to a spectrum of energetic needs and constraints.

About the Author

Caroline C. Rodgers is an independent science theorist whose peer-reviewed work spans autism, neurodegeneration, and maternal and neonatal health. She explores the potential biological roots of public health issues that are incompletely explained by prevailing theories.

Statements and Declarations

Author's contribution

All interpretations and hypotheses presented in this paper are the original work of the author.

References

1. ^ a, b, c, d, e *Ozonoff S, Iosif AM (2019). "Changing Conceptualizations of Regression: What Prospective Studies Reveal About the Onset of Autism Spectrum Disorder." Neurosci Biobehav Rev. **100**:296–304. doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2019.03.012.*
2. ^ a, b, c *Barger BD, Campbell JM, McDonough JD (2013). "Prevalence and Onset of Regression Within Autism Spectrum Disorders: A Meta-Analytic Review." J Autism Dev Disord. **43**(4):817–828. doi:10.1007/s10803-012-1621-x.*
3. ^ *Yin J, Chun CA, Zavadenko NN, et al. (2020). "Next Generation Sequencing of 134 Children With Autism Spectrum Disorder and Regression." Genes. **11**(8):853. doi:10.3390/genes11080853.*
4. ^ a, b *Rossignol DA, Frye RE (2012). "Mitochondrial Dysfunction in Autism Spectrum Disorders: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis." Mol Psychiatry. **17**(3):290–314. doi:10.1038/mp.2010.136.*
5. ^ *Lord C, Elsabbagh M, Baird G, Veenstra-Vanderweele J (2018). "Autism Spectrum Disorder." Lancet. **392**(10146):508–520. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31129-2.*
6. ^ a, b *Park HO (2023). "Autism Spectrum Disorder and Savant Syndrome: A Systematic Literature Review." J Korean Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. **34**(2):76–92. doi:10.5765/jkacap.230003.*
7. ^ a, b *Pearson N, Charman T, Happé F, Bolton PF, McEwen FS (2018). "Regression in Autism Spectrum Disorder: Reconciling Findings From Retrospective and Prospective Research." Autism Res. **11**(12):1602–1620. doi:10.1002/aur.2035.*
8. ^ *Chen L, Hu C, Yang F, et al. (2022). "A Multi-Center Study on the Relationship Between Developmental Regression and Disease Severity in Children With Autism Spectrum Disorders." Front Psychiatry. **13**:796554. doi:10.3389/fpsyt.2022.796554.*
9. ^ *Ghaziuddin M (2021). "Catatonia: A Common Cause of Late Regression in Autism." Front Psychiatry. **12**:674009. doi:10.3389/fpsyt.2021.674009.*
10. ^ *Kostović I (2024). "Development of the Basic Architecture of Neocortical Circuitry in the Human Fetus as Revealed by the Coupling Spatiotemporal Pattern of Synaptogenesis Along With Microstructure and Macroscale in Vivo MR Imaging." Brain Struct Funct. **229**(9):2339–2367. doi:10.1007/s00429-024-02838-9.*
11. ^ *Ji L, Menu I, Majbri A, Bhatia T, Trentacosta CJ, Thomason ME (2024). "Trajectories of Human Brain Functional Connectome Maturation Across the Birth Transition." PLoS Biol. **22**(11):e3002909. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.3002909.*

12. ^ a, b, c, d *Faust TE, Gunner G, Schafer DP (2021). "Mechanisms Governing Activity-Dependent Synaptic Pruning in the Developing Mammalian CNS." Nat Rev Neurosci. **22**(11):657–673. doi:10.1038/s41583-021-00507-y.*

13. ^ a, b, c, d, e *Tang G, Gudsnuk K, Kuo SH, et al. (2014). "Loss of MTOR-Dependent Macroautophagy Causes Autistic-Like Synaptic Pruning Deficits." Neuron. **83**(5):1131–1143. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2014.07.040.*

14. ^ a, b, c, d, e *Frye RE, McCarty PJ, Werner BA, Rose S, Scheck AC (2024). "Bioenergetic Signatures of Neurodevelopmental Regression." Front Physiol. **15**:1306038. doi:10.3389/fphys.2024.1306038.*

15. ^ a, b, c *Bhadsavle SS, Golding MC (2022). "Paternal Epigenetic Influences on Placental Health and Their Impacts on Offspring Development and Disease." Front Genet. **13**:1068408. doi:10.3389/fgene.2022.1068408.*

16. ^ a, b *Stewart JB, Chinnery PF (2015). "The Dynamics of Mitochondrial DNA Heteroplasmy: Implications for Human Health and Disease." Nat Rev Genet. **16**(9):530–542. doi:10.1038/nrg3966.*

17. ^ *Salin K, Villasevil EM, Anderson GJ, et al. (2019). "Differences in Mitochondrial Efficiency Explain Individual Variation in Growth Performance." Proc Biol Sci. **286**(1909):20191466. doi:10.1098/rspb.2019.1466.*

18. ^ *Khaliulin I, Hamoudi W, Amal H (2024). "The Multifaceted Role of Mitochondria in Autism Spectrum Disorder." Mol Psychiatry. **29**. doi:10.1038/s41380-024-02725-z.*

19. ^ *Gupta S, Kishore A, Rishi V, Aggarwal A (2025). "Mitochondria and Its Epigenetic Dynamics: Insight Into Synaptic Regulation and Synaptopathies." Funct Integr Genomics. **25**:26. doi:10.1007/s10142-025-01530-3.*

20. ^ *Paolicelli RC, Bolasco G, Pagani F, et al. (2011). "Synaptic Pruning by Microglia Is Necessary for Normal Brain Development." Science. **333**(6048):1456–1458. doi:10.1126/science.1202529.*

21. ^ *Schafer DP, Lehrman EK, Kautzman AG, et al. (2012). "Microglia Sculpt Postnatal Neural Circuits in an Activity and Complement-Dependent Manner." Neuron. **74**(4):691–705. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2012.03.026.*

22. ^ *Monsorno K, Ginggen K, Ivanov A, et al. (2023). "Loss of Microglial MCT4 Leads to Defective Synaptic Pruning and Anxiety-Like Behavior in Mice." Nat Commun. **14**:5749. doi:10.1038/s41467-023-41502-4.*

23. ^ *Pagani M, Barsotti N, Bertero A, et al. (2021). "MTOR-Related Synaptic Pathology Causes Autism Spectrum Disorder-Associated Functional Hyperconnectivity." Nat Commun. **12**:6084. doi:10.1038/s41467-021-26131-z.*

24. ^ a, b *Duarte FV, Ciampi D, Duarte CB (2023). "Mitochondria as Central Hubs in Synaptic Modulation." Cell Mol Life Sci. **80**(173). doi:10.1007/s00018-023-04814-8.*

25. ^ a, b *Sidlauskaite E, Gibson JW, Megson IL, et al. (2018). "Mitochondrial ROS Cause Motor Deficits Induced by Synaptic Inactivity: Implications for Synapse Pruning." Redox Biol. **16**:344–351. doi:10.1016/j.redox.2018.03.012.*

26. ^ *Valenti D, Vacca RA (2023). "Brain Mitochondrial Bioenergetics in Genetic Neurodevelopmental Disorders: Focus on Down, Rett and Fragile X Syndromes." Int J Mol Sci. **24**(15):12488. doi:10.3390/ijms241512488.*

27. ^Komar-Fletcher M, Wojas J, Rutkowska M, Raczyńska G, Nowacka A, Jurek JM (2023). "Negative Environmental Influences on the Developing Brain Mediated by Epigenetic Modifications." Explor Neurosci. **2**:193–211. doi:10.37349/en.2023.00021.

28. ^Chaudry S, Vasudevan N (2022). "MTOR-Dependent Spine Dynamics in Autism." Front Mol Neurosci. **15**:877609. doi:10.3389/fnmol.2022.877609.

29. ^Yasuda Y, Matsumoto J, Miura K, Hasegawa N, Hashimoto R (2023). "Genetics of Autism Spectrum Disorders and Future Direction." J Hum Genet. **68**(3):193–197. doi:10.1038/s10038-022-01076-3.

30. ^Garcia-Cabau C, Bartomeu A, Salvatella X, Méndez R (2024). "Mis-Splicing of a Neuronal Microexon Promotes CPEB4 Aggregation in ASD." Nature. **624**(7992):1123–1129. doi:10.1038/s41586-024-08289-w.

31. ^Yin F, Peng J (2018). "Synaptopathology Involved in Autism Spectrum Disorder." Front Cell Neurosci. **12**:489. doi:10.3389/fncel.2018.00489.

32. ^Meilleur AA, Fombonne E (2009). "Regression of Language and Non-Language Skills in Pervasive Developmental Disorders." J Intellect Disabil Res. **53**(2):115–124. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2788.2008.01134.x.

33. ^Boschi A, Planche P, Hemimou C, Demily C, Vaivre-Douret L (2016). "From High Intellectual Potential to Asperger Syndrome: Evidence for Differences and a Fundamental Overlap—A Systematic Review." Front Psychol. **7**:1605. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2016.01605.

34. ^Brignell A, Chenausky KV, Song H, Zhu J, Suo C, Morgan AT (2018). "Communication Interventions for Autism Spectrum Disorder in Minimally Verbal Children." Cochrane Database Syst Rev. **11**(11):CD012324. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD012324.pub2.

35. ^Saalasti S, Lepisto T, Toppila E, Kujala T, Laakso M, Nieminen-von Wendt T, Jansson-Verkasalo E (2008). "Language Abilities of Children With Asperger Syndrome." J Autism Dev Disord. **38**(8):1574–1580. doi:10.1007/s10803-008-0540-3.

36. ^Junior JM, Muniz PC, Pinto TM, Schwartzman JS, Macedo EC (2025). "Etiopathogenic Theories in Savant Syndrome: Scoping Review." Rev J Autism Dev Disord. **12**(1):8–22. doi:10.1007/s40489-023-00372-8.

37. a, bWinner E (2014). Child Prodigies and Adult Genius: A Weak Link. In: Simonton DK, ed. The Wiley Handbook of Genius. 1st ed. Wiley:297–320. doi:10.1002/9781118367377.ch15.

38. ^Treffert DA (2014). "Savant Syndrome: Realities, Myths and Misconceptions." J Autism Dev Disord. **44**(3):564–571. doi:10.1007/s10803-013-1906-8.

39. ^Raichle ME, Gusnard DA (2002). "Appraising the Brain's Energy Budget." Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. **99**(16):10237–10239. doi:10.1073/pnas.172399499.

40. ^ Pepperell R (2024). "Consciousness and Energy Processing in Neural Systems." Brain Sci. **14**(11):1112. doi:10.3390/brainsci14111112.

41. ^ Kuzawa CW, Chugani HT, Grossman LI, et al. (2014). "Metabolic Costs and Evolutionary Implications of Human Brain Development." Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. **111**(36):13010–13015. doi:10.1073/pnas.1323099111.

42. ^ Zamoscik V, Mier D, Schmidt SNL, Kirsch P (2016). "Early Memories of Individuals on the Autism Spectrum Assessed Using Online Self-Reports." Front Psychiatry. **7**:79. doi:10.3389/fpsyt.2016.00079.

43. ^ Gevezova M, Ivanov Z, Pacheva I, et al. (2024). "Bioenergetic and Inflammatory Alterations in Regressed and Non-Regressed Patients With Autism Spectrum Disorder." Int J Mol Sci. **25**(15):8211. doi:10.3390/ijms25158211.

44. ^ Leekam SR, Nieto C, Libby SJ, Wing L, Gould J (2007). "Describing the Sensory Abnormalities of Children and Adults With Autism." J Autism Dev Disord. **37**(5):894–910. doi:10.1007/s10803-006-0218-7.

45. ^ Wodka EL, Mathy P, Kalb L (2013). "Predictors of Phrase and Fluent Speech in Children With Autism and Severe Language Delay." Pediatrics. **131**(4):e1128–e1134. doi:10.1542/peds.2012-2221.

Declarations

Funding: No specific funding was received for this work.

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.