

## Review of: "Persimmon Preservation: Navigating Tradition, Innovation, and Sustainability for a Holistic Future"

Aleksandra Savić

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The subject of the paper is very interesting, especially for those countries and cultures that use persimmons in production and nutrition. In general, the paper is correctly written about the importance, use, processing, and preservation of persimmon fruit in the world. It contains a large number of references; the literature is contemporary. It is necessary to standardize all references without mistakes. There are some complicated formulations in the paper; it would be good to improve the text by some reformulations, removing redundant clarifications.

However, it is necessary to make some corrections in the work:

In the Abstract, the second sentence is not clear.

Diospyros kaki L., scientifically known as persimmon, is rich in nutritional components and holds botanical importance with over 700 different species.

Maybe you meant: The genus Diospyros (not the species *Diospyros kaki* L.), scientifically known as persimmon, is rich in nutritional components and holds botanical importance with over 700 different species.

• In the second chapter (2. Processing Techniques), the second sentence is not clear:

The resulting pulp, enriched with microorganisms, necessitates preservation methods such as... Why is the pulp enriched with microorganisms? It is not clear whether the microorganisms are due to spoilage of the fruit or pollution. If they are, please say it differently.

- In the second chapter, it is not specified to which species the varieties Fuyu persimmon and Hachiya persimmon belong?
- In the second chapter Japanese persimmon (Diospyros kaki): the species name should be written in italics Diospyros kaki).
- In the third chapter (3. Factors Influencing Persimmon Shelf Life), the enumeration is not clearly marked: first is i, then 1, 2, 3.
- In the third chapter (3. Innovative Approaches for Enhancing Persimmon Shelf Life), in the first sentence, some parts are said in the Introduction; it is repeated.
- In the fourth chapter (4. Diverse Products from Persimmons), the first sentence is repeated; it was already said in the text above.



- The conclusion is written with much redundancy. It would be good to improve the text by some reformulations, removing redundant clarifications. It should be a bit shortened.
- In Table 1, species need to be in italics (D. virginiana), (D. lotus), (D. kaki).

Good luck!

Qeios ID: S5Q07F · https://doi.org/10.32388/S5Q07F