

Research Article

Relativistic Shedding: A Kinematic Threshold for Emission into Weakly Coupled Eigenmodes

Aric Dunn¹

1. Independent researcher

We define *Relativistic Shedding* (RS) as a kinematic threshold phenomenon: a steadily moving relativistic source can radiate into any *propagating* eigenmode of the coupled field–environment system whose phase velocity (along the source direction) is below the source velocity. Cherenkov radiation is the electromagnetic special case in a dielectric. RS generalizes the same phase-velocity/synchronism criterion to *weakly coupled eigenmodes*, including hidden-sector states accessed through portal interactions.

This paper provides a self-contained foundation for RS intended to remain stable under future model, laboratory, and cosmological refinements. We present: (i) a concise, textbook-level definition; (ii) threshold criteria in both the bulk-medium language ($\beta n_{eff} > 1$) and the guided/periodic-structure language (synchronism $\omega = k_z v$); (iii) a model-independent radiation formula in linear-response (retarded Green-function / spectral-density) form that makes the origin of the step-like turn-on explicit; and (iv) a worked example for a kinetically mixed massive vector (“dark photon”), including the in-medium effective mixing factor. We also state a minimal, falsifier-rich laboratory logic: RS predicts a reversible on/off contrast under ABAB threshold bracketing, rather than a claim about absolute rates. Implementations and cosmological applications are deferred to companion studies.

Corresponding author: Aric Dunn, aricdunn@gmail.com

I. Introduction

The modern experimental landscape for weakly coupled new physics includes “light-shining-through-walls” (LSW) searches and cavity/haloscope approaches, spanning optical and microwave frequencies ^[1]

[2][3][4][5][6][7]. These experiments often exploit (i) coherent electromagnetic structures (high quality factors, narrow linewidths) and (ii) on/off or modulation strategies that reject slowly varying systematics.

Relativistic Shedding (RS) is proposed as a complementary organizing principle: a *deterministic, tunable* kinematic threshold can open (or close) a radiative channel into a weakly coupled eigenmode of the environment. In its electromagnetic limit, RS reduces to standard Cherenkov radiation [8][9]. However, the RS framing emphasizes two points:

1. The relevant object is not “a photon in a dielectric” but an *eigenmode* of the full coupled system (fields plus environment), which may be photon-like, hidden-like, or a mixture.
2. If a control parameter of the environment moves the eigenmode dispersion across the source’s synchronism condition, the resulting *threshold turn-on* can be used as a falsifier-rich experimental discriminator.

The goal of this paper is foundational: to define RS in a manner that is independent of any specific portal, medium model, or laboratory architecture, while still yielding precise, testable implications.

II. A textbook definition of Relativistic Shedding

A. Definition

Definition (Relativistic Shedding). Let a source with constant velocity \mathbf{v} couple (possibly very weakly) to one or more fields Φ in an environment \mathcal{E} describable by linear response. Denote by $\omega_\alpha(\mathbf{k}; \lambda)$ the dispersion relation(s) of propagating eigenmodes α of the full field–environment system, where λ is any externally controllable parameter of \mathcal{E} (material, geometry, bias, temperature, etc.). *Relativistic Shedding* (RS) is the threshold turn-on of radiation into a weakly coupled eigenmode when tuning λ causes the source’s kinematic synchronism condition

$$\omega = \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v}$$

to intersect a propagating branch of $\omega_\alpha(\mathbf{k}; \lambda)$, equivalently when the source outruns the eigenmode phase velocity along its direction of motion.

The definition is intentionally *kinematic* and *mode-based*. It is stable under future refinements because it depends only on (i) existence of eigenmodes and (ii) whether a well-defined intersection exists between those modes and the source line in (ω, \mathbf{k}) space.

B. Minimal ingredients

The definition implies three necessary ingredients:

1. **Propagating eigenmodes.** The linear response of \mathcal{E} must possess propagating modes that can carry energy away (equivalently, the retarded Green function must have nonzero spectral weight on shell).
2. **A constant-velocity source.** A steadily moving source imposes a synchronism constraint $\omega = \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v}$ on its Fourier components.
3. **A tunable crossing.** A control parameter λ must move the eigenmode dispersion relative to the source line so that a propagating intersection turns on/off.

In practice, λ may be as simple as the refractive index, a geometric spacing, or a resonant frequency tuning; RS makes no demand beyond the existence of an independently characterizable threshold.

III. Threshold criteria in two standard languages

A. Homogeneous isotropic medium: phase velocity and effective index

In an isotropic medium, a plane-wave-like eigenmode can be characterized by a frequency-dependent wavenumber magnitude $k(\omega; \lambda)$, and we define

$$v_{ph}(\omega; \lambda) \equiv \frac{\omega}{k(\omega; \lambda)}, n_{eff}(\omega; \lambda) \equiv \frac{k(\omega; \lambda)}{\omega}. \quad (1)$$

With $\beta \equiv v/c$ (and $c = 1$ in natural units), a Cherenkov-like RS threshold is

$$\beta n_{eff}(\omega; \lambda) > 1. \quad (2)$$

When Eq. (2) is satisfied for a propagating branch, radiation is emitted at an angle

$$\cos \theta(\omega) = \frac{1}{\beta n_{eff}(\omega; \lambda)}, \quad (3)$$

recovering the standard Cherenkov relation [8][9].

It is useful to define a detuning parameter

$$\Delta(\omega; \lambda) \equiv \beta n_{eff}(\omega; \lambda) - 1, \quad (4)$$

so that the turn-on corresponds to $\Delta > 0$.

B. Guided or periodic structures: synchronism and detuning

Many environments of interest are not bulk homogeneous media but guided or periodic structures. Consider translational symmetry along z and label eigenmodes by (α, k_z) with dispersion $\omega = \omega_\alpha(k_z; \lambda)$. A source moving along z with speed v enforces the synchronism (phase-matching) condition

$$\omega = k_z v. \quad (5)$$

Radiation into mode α occurs when Eq. (5) intersects a propagating portion of $\omega_\alpha(k_z; \lambda)$, equivalently when the modal phase velocity ω/k_z drops below v .

This guided-mode viewpoint makes clear why engineered dispersion is powerful: by increasing k_z at fixed ω (slow-wave / large n_{eff}), one can satisfy the RS condition even when bulk material indices are close to unity.

IV. Emission in linear response

A. Spectral-density formulation

Consider a field Φ coupled linearly to a (possibly conserved) source J ,

$$\mathcal{L}_{int} = gJ(x)\Phi(x), \quad (6)$$

where g may be a portal-suppressed effective coupling. In linear response,

$$\Phi(x) = g \int d^4x' D_{ret}(x, x') J(x'), \quad (7)$$

with D_{ret} the retarded Green function of the full environment-coupled system. The power dissipated by the source into the field can be written in frequency space in terms of the *spectral density*

$$\rho(\omega, \mathbf{k}) \equiv -2\Im D_{ret}(\omega, \mathbf{k}). \quad (8)$$

For a translationally invariant environment, one obtains a standard quadratic form ^[10]

$$\frac{dP}{d\omega} = \frac{g^2}{2} \omega \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} |J(\omega, \mathbf{k})|^2 \rho(\omega, \mathbf{k}), \quad (9)$$

up to conventions for Fourier transforms and normalization. Equation (9) makes the RS mechanism transparent:

- A constant-velocity source yields $J(\omega, \mathbf{k}) \propto \delta(\omega - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v})$ (Appendix A).
- Radiation requires $\rho(\omega, \mathbf{k}) \neq 0$, i.e. the presence of propagating spectral weight.

- Therefore, RS corresponds to the appearance/disappearance of support of ρ on the source line $\omega = \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v}$ as λ is tuned.

B. Mode-sum viewpoint and the origin of the step

If the environment admits a normal-mode expansion, the spectral density contains delta functions enforcing on-shell propagation. In a simple schematic form,

$$\rho(\omega, \mathbf{k}) \propto \sum_{\alpha} Z_{\alpha}(\mathbf{k}; \lambda) \delta(\omega^2 - \omega_{\alpha}^2(\mathbf{k}; \lambda)) + \dots, \quad (10)$$

where Z_{α} are residues and the ellipsis denotes continua and/or damping contributions. Inserting the source $\delta(\omega - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v})$ then enforces simultaneous satisfaction of the dispersion and synchronism constraints, yielding the threshold conditions in Sec. III. The “step” is simply the non-analytic change in phase space support when the intersection first appears.

C. Recovering the Frank–Tamm spectrum

In bulk electromagnetism, the above machinery reproduces the Frank–Tamm spectrum for Cherenkov radiation ^{[8], [9]}. For orientation, the photon yield per unit length per unit frequency can be written as

$$\frac{d^2 N_{\gamma}}{dx d\omega} = \frac{\alpha}{c} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\beta^2 n^2(\omega)} \right) \Theta(\beta n - 1), \quad (11)$$

with $\alpha = e^2/(4\pi\hbar c)$ and refractive index $n(\omega)$. RS can be viewed as the statement that an analogous threshold factor appears whenever a propagating eigenmode is accessible, with the overall magnitude controlled by the effective coupling of the source to that eigenmode.

V. Worked example: kinetically mixed massive vector

A. Minimal vector portal

A canonical hidden-sector example is a massive vector V_{μ} kinetically mixed with the photon ^{[11][12]}:

$$\mathcal{L} \supset -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} V_{\mu\nu} V^{\mu\nu} - \frac{\kappa}{2} F_{\mu\nu} V^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} m_V^2 V_{\mu} V^{\mu} + e J_{em}^{\mu} A_{\mu}. \quad (12)$$

In a medium, photon propagation is modified by the polarization tensor $\Pi_{\mu\nu}(\omega, \mathbf{k})$, and the propagating eigenmodes are mixtures of A and V .

B. In-medium effective mixing

For small vacuum mixing $\kappa \ll 1$, the probability to produce a predominantly- V eigenmode from an electromagnetic source is controlled by an *in-medium effective mixing factor*. For transverse (T) and longitudinal (L) polarizations one may write ^{[13], [14]}

$$\kappa_{T,L}^2(\omega, \mathbf{k}) = \kappa^2 \frac{m_V^4}{(m_V^2 - \Re\Pi_{T,L}(\omega, \mathbf{k}))^2 + (\Im\Pi_{T,L}(\omega, \mathbf{k}))^2}. \quad (13)$$

Equation (13) compactly encodes resonant enhancement when $m_V^2 \simeq \Re\Pi$ and damping through $\Im\Pi$. It also makes clear when a bulk medium cannot substantially “slow” the heavy hidden mode: if $m_V^2 \gg |\Pi|$, then $\kappa_{T,L} \simeq \kappa$ and the eigenmode remains vacuum-like.

C. A simple closure: heavy on-shell mediators in ordinary matter

For an on-shell massive vector in vacuum,

$$\omega^2 = k^2 + m_V^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad n_{eff}(\omega) = \frac{k}{\omega} = \sqrt{1 - \frac{m_V^2}{\omega^2}} < 1, \quad (14)$$

so the phase velocity satisfies $v_{ph} = \omega/k > 1$. A charge with $v < 1$ cannot outrun the phase velocity of a *vacuum-like* massive mode, and thus Eq. (2) cannot be satisfied for that branch.

To realize RS for a heavy on-shell mediator, the environment must reshape the relevant eigenmode dispersion so that an accessible branch becomes subluminal at $\omega \gtrsim m_V$. In ordinary matter, the scale entering Π (and thus any refractivity) is typically set by plasma/atomic response energies (eV–keV), not by MeV–GeV mediator masses, so $|\Pi| \ll m_V^2$ in that regime and the medium does not generate a subluminal V -like branch. This motivates engineered structures (slow-wave guides, periodic media, resonators) as the natural laboratory space for RS in the sub-eV regime, where microwave eigenmodes can have very large effective indices and tunable dispersion.

D. Near-threshold scaling and the RS signature

When a weakly mixed eigenmode does admit a subluminal branch, the hidden-channel spectrum inherits a Cherenkov-like threshold factor multiplied by the effective coupling. Schematically, in a bulk-like setting one expects

$$\frac{d^2 N_V}{dx d\omega} \sim \kappa_T^2(\omega) \frac{d^2 N_\gamma}{dx d\omega}, \quad (15)$$

with $d^2 N_\gamma / (dx d\omega)$ given by Eq. (11). The precise prefactor and polarization structure depend on geometry and losses, but the non-analytic turn-on in $\Delta(\omega; \lambda)$ is robust and is the defining experimental handle.

VI. Minimal laboratory validation logic

Although RS is defined without committing to a particular apparatus, it implies experimentally checkable consequences. A minimal validation strategy requires:

1. **A tunable control parameter** λ that moves $\Delta(\omega_{sys}; \lambda)$ across zero at a known system frequency ω_{sys} (or known synchronism line).
2. **A source** whose velocity (or effective phase velocity) is known and stable, so that the threshold prediction is objective.
3. **A receiver channel** that is sensitive to the weak eigenmode, ideally narrowband around ω_{sys} (e.g. a resonator).
4. **ABAB bracketing**: alternate between below-threshold (A) and above-threshold (B) states while holding all other parameters fixed.

The RS hypothesis predicts a reversible on/off contrast in the receiver (power, events, etc.) correlated with the sign of Δ :

$$\Delta P \equiv P_B(\omega_{sys}) - P_A(\omega_{sys}) > 0 \quad \text{only if} \quad \Delta(\omega_{sys}; \lambda_B) > 0 > \Delta(\omega_{sys}; \lambda_A). \quad (16)$$

Further falsifiers are naturally available: receiver detuning (line disappears and reappears on retune), geometry/polarization swaps, and shielding/leakage discriminants. Existing cavity-based LSW searches provide clear demonstrations of narrowband regeneration techniques and attainable noise floors in the sub-eV regime [\[3\]\[5\]\[6\]\[7\]](#), and thin-wall/evanescent extensions exist for $m_V > \omega$ [\[15\]\[16\]](#).

VII. Discussion and outlook

The RS definition isolates a common physical core shared by Cherenkov radiation, slow-wave excitation, and resonant regeneration: a moving (or effectively moving) source can excite an eigenmode only when a synchronism condition intersects a propagating dispersion branch. When that intersection is controlled by a tunable parameter, the resulting threshold provides a powerful systematic canceller.

The novel claim of RS is not a new portal or a new mixing formalism; these are standard [1][11][12]. Rather, RS advocates treating *threshold modulation* as a first-class search strategy for hidden-sector emission in laboratory structures where dispersion engineering provides exquisite control of $n_{eff}(\omega; \lambda)$.

Companion papers can specialize this foundation to concrete radiator designs (slow-wave, photonic crystal, metasurface), receiver architectures (resonant regeneration, interferometric pickup, quantum-limited readout), and astrophysical/cosmological environments where $\Pi_{\mu\nu}$ is plasma-driven and evolves with temperature.

VIII. Conclusions

We have provided a stand-alone definition and formal foundation for Relativistic Shedding (RS) as a kinematic threshold phenomenon: a relativistic source emits into a weakly coupled eigenmode when it outruns that mode's phase velocity (equivalently, when the synchronism condition intersects a propagating eigenmode branch). Expressing emission in linear-response / spectral-density language makes the threshold origin explicit and environment-agnostic.

A worked example for a kinetically mixed massive vector illustrates the in-medium effective mixing factor and clarifies why ordinary dielectrics cannot realize heavy on-shell RS without engineered dispersion. Finally, we stated a minimal laboratory validation logic emphasizing ABAB threshold bracketing and falsifiers, consistent with the broader LSW/cavity experimental ethos.

Appendix A. Synchronism δ -function for a constant-velocity source

For a point charge q moving along z with constant speed v ,

$$\rho(\mathbf{x}, t) = q\delta(x)\delta(y)\delta(z - vt), \quad \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{x}, t) = qv\hat{\mathbf{z}}\delta(x)\delta(y)\delta(z - vt). \quad (\text{A1})$$

Fourier transforming yields

$$\int dt e^{i\omega t} e^{-ik_z vt} = 2\pi\delta(\omega - k_z v), \quad (\text{A2})$$

which is Eq. (5). This is the origin of phase matching for all constant-velocity radiation processes.

Appendix B. A convenient near-threshold linearization

For $\beta \simeq 1 - 1/(2\gamma^2)$ and $n_{eff}(\omega; \lambda) = 1 + \delta n$ with $|\delta n| \ll 1$, the threshold $\beta n_{eff} > 1$ implies

$$\delta n > \frac{1}{2\gamma^2}. \quad (\text{B1})$$

Ultra-relativistic sources can therefore access extremely small index offsets, motivating engineered structures where effective indices are large and tunable.

References

1. ^a_bRedondo J, Ringwald A (2011). "Light Shining Through Walls." *Contemp Phys.* 52:211. [arXiv:1011.3741 \[hep-ph\]](#).
2. ^ΔEhret K, et al. (2010). "New ALPS Results on Hidden-Sector Lightweights." *Phys Lett B.* 689:149. [arXiv:1004.1313 \[hep-ex\]](#).
3. ^a_bBetz M, Caspers F, Gasior M, Thumm M, Rieger J (2013). "First Results of the CERN Resonant Weakly Interacting Sub-eV Particle Search (CROWS)." *Phys Rev D.* 88:075014. [arXiv:1310.8098 \[hep-ex\]](#).
4. ^ΔPovey RG, Hartnett JG, Tobar ME (2010). "Microwave Cavity Light Shining Through a Wall Optimization and Experiment." *Phys Rev D.* 82:052003. [arXiv:1003.0964 \[hep-ex\]](#).
5. ^a_bParker SR, Tobar ME, et al. (2014). "Cryogenic Resonant Microwave Cavity Searches for Hidden Sector Photons." *Phys Rev D.* 90:092002. [arXiv:1410.5244 \[hep-ex\]](#).
6. ^a_bRomanenko A, Harnik R, Grassellino A, et al. (2023). "Search for Dark Photons with Superconducting Radio Frequency Cavities." *Phys Rev Lett.* 130:261801. [arXiv:2301.11512 \[hep-ex\]](#).
7. ^a_bTang Z, Wang B, Chen Y, et al. (SHANHE Collaboration) (2024). "First Scan Search for Dark Photon Dark Matter with a Tunable Superconducting Radio-Frequency Cavity." *Phys Rev Lett.* 133:021005. [arXiv:2305.09711 \[hep-ex\]](#).
8. ^a_b^cFrank IM, Tamm IE (1937). "Coherent Radiation of Fast Electrons in a Medium." *Dokl Akad Nauk SSSR.* 14:109.
9. ^a_b^cJackson JD (1998). *Classical Electrodynamics*. 3rd ed. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
10. ^ΔLandau LD, Lifshitz EM, Pitaevskii LP (1984). *Electrodynamics of Continuous Media*. 2nd ed. Oxford: Pergamon Press.
11. ^a_bHoldom B (1986). "Two U(1)'s and Epsilon Charge Shifts." *Phys Lett B.* 166:196.
12. ^a_bAlexander J, et al. (2016). "Dark Sectors 2016 Workshop: Community Report." [arXiv:1608.08632 \[hep-ph\]](#).
13. ^ΔAn H, Pospelov M, Pradler J (2013). "New Stellar Constraints on Dark Photons." *Phys Lett B.* 725:190. [arXiv:1302.3884 \[hep-ph\]](#).

14. [△]Redondo J, Raffelt G (2013). "Solar Constraints on Hidden Photons Re-Visited." *JCAP*. 1308:034. [arXiv:1305.2920](https://arxiv.org/abs/1305.2920) [[hep-ph](#)].
15. [△]Berlin A, Harnik R, Janish R (2023). "Light Shining Through a Thin Wall: Evanescent Hidden Photon Detection." [arXiv:2303.00014](https://arxiv.org/abs/2303.00014) [[hep-ph](#)].
16. [△]Salnikov D, Satunin P, Valeeva L, Kirpichnikov DV (2025). "Light-Shinning-Through-Thin-Wall Radio Frequency Cavities for Probing Dark Photon." *Eur Phys J C*. 85:521. [arXiv:2410.07589](https://arxiv.org/abs/2410.07589) [[hep-ph](#)].

Declarations

Funding: No specific funding was received for this work.

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.