

## Review of: "Costs of Full Endoscopic Spine Surgery: a Narrative Review"

Rui Zhong

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

After reading your paper, ask the following 5 questions: 1, Your research is more oriented towards comparative studies of the costs of multiple minimally invasive posterior spinal procedures, why not consider this as a paper topic? 2, FESS is widely used in clinical practice in China and Korea, and there are many roports on it in high-level databases within China and Korea, so why were they not considered for inclusion in your study? 3, LSSS and CDH have only one study with insufficent evidence. Why not consider limiting the study to LDH? 4, The manuscript does not have charts with specific data, are they being considered for addition? 5, How can the credibility of the results be assured when a high degree of heterogeneity is exhibited both in terms of data sources and accounting metheds?

Qeios ID: S7KGOG · https://doi.org/10.32388/S7KGOG