

## Review of: "Implementation of e-learning during COVID-19"

Fawzia Reza

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The chapter should include more citations. For example, when discussing main e-learning issues the author does not provide any citations, although there are many examples of published research, which identifies and addresses this challenge.

The author states:

This study employs a qualitative research approach to investigate the problems associated with e-learning during the COVID-19 pandemic. A statistical method was used as well as the analytical, and method of synthesis.

I think a statistical approach is better suited for a quantitative methodology not a qualitative one

Where was this study conducted and how many participants were included?

Question 1: "Is online teaching better than traditional classroom teaching?"

This is a closed ended question- not used for a qualitative study.

Question 2: "What is your biggest complaint about online teaching?"

Students were given choices

I don't have a feeling that I am studying for real.

Teacher is missing.

Colleague's students and class feeling is missing

Once again, based on the limited information, when participants are asked to choose answers from the preselected options, the study is properly classified as quantitative.

My recommendation:

Create an outline and make sure that the introduction clearly and succinctly explains the topic. Cite literature to highlight the challenges. When describing the study, provide a brief background about the study participants, where was the study conducted, how the participants were identified and recruited, and what methodological approach was utilized for the study. Provide a results section, whereby explain the findings of the study, include a conclusion section whereby you clearly summarize the topic under discussion.



While some of the elements were present in the study, these were not very well organized and explained, leaving the readers unsure about what the author is trying to convey.

In my opinion, the chapter can be accepted but with major revisions, addressing the deficiencies identified.