Review of: "Bibliometric analysis and current status of Leishmaniasis research indexed in Scopus, 2010 -2023"

Naser Hatami

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

To whom it may concern,

I read the paper that describes the bibliometric indices of research on Leishmaniasis. Overall, this manuscript is well written but could be improved by considering these notes of mine:

Major considerations:

The manuscript has no message other than the research is growing on this topic, and Brazil and the USA, and the mentioned journals are at best ranks and most contributors.

In terms of bibliometric analyses, there are many more indices that particularly could be achieved via bibliometric analyses. While it is mentioned in the aim of the study that it aspires to get information about themes, hotspots, and future development trends, none of them is provided.

I recommend performing further tests like examining Lotka's law.

While it is mentioned that Brazil and the USA were leading in the case of number of documents, leading organizations funding the research were from Iran. I can't understand these differences. Cocitation analysis is not interpreted.

Also, I recommend performing a thematic analysis [thematic evolution] by considering some years with specific worldwide incidents or events as cut-offs, as well as WHO steps taken to control leishmaniosis.

Minor concerns:

Method is not synchronised with results.

Authors have tried to link the countries with their extent of experience with leishmaniosis based on the incidence of disease in countries in the first part of the results, but this is not the aim of the study and should be moved to the discussion with a better link to the bibliometric findings.