

Review of: "The Consequences of Political and Economic Choices: Exploring Disaster Vulnerability with the Structure, Resource, and Behaviour Change model (SRAB)"

Shaoming Chen

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

This is an interesting and informative paper, well written and thought provoking. Through a case study of Tan Hung commune in Vietnam, the paper attempts to explore the nexus between the historical evolution of disaster vulnerability and current farming behaviors. In order to examine the root cause of disaster vulnerability, Structure, Resource, and Behaviour Change model (SRAB) is employed.

My main concern is the representativeness of the 28 households. In the "Data and Methods" part, the authors select the interviewees based on three requirements. Is there only 28 households meet these requirements? If not, why dose the authors choose these 28 households? And why do you believe the sample size and the selection procedure is convincing?