Review of: "Prospective Role of Chat GPT in the Military: According to ChatGPT"

Tom Worthington[1]

1 Australian National University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The paper is an interesting attempt at using ChatGPT to write about the usefulness of itself in a specific field: military applications. Unfortunately the result is a long list of items, and assertions, with little supporting evidence or analysis. There is nothing wrong with the items listed, and they are much like you might expect a committee in a military organization, or consultants, to produce: bland, in like with conventional wisdom, and unadventurous.
The author declares that assertions are made by chatGPT, but doesn't identify which elements are from the AI, and which the human author. There is one author listed for the work who is presumably not the actual source of most of what was written. It might be better to list the author as "anonymous", as the content is generated by many authors, whose work has been ingested by the AI, with the human listed in a footnote as an editor. But it may be some time before we work out how to incorporate such content in scholarly works.