

## Review of: "Responsible Geosciences, or Geoscience Literacy for Urbanites"

Jasmine Bachtiar<sup>1</sup>

1 Universitas Mataram

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The paper is not constructive and needs major changes to be accepted in this journal.

- 1. The title does not draw the whole picture of this research. It is confusing and not focus on what the author try to explain in the paper. Title should bu using S-V-O to make readers understand
- 2. Abstracts: The abstract is not clear and too many distracting unrelated informations included. Try to rewrite the abstract with introduction—method—analysis—result—implementation. This part should contain of how important geoscience-literate for people (not for urban realm) is and its relation to urban life/social life.
- 3. The "goescience-literate", "urban realms", "enacted activity", etc. is not described well and not clear what the author trying to tell to readers. Readers might be confused on abundace unfamiliar words in this article
- 4. Please use the academic english for writing this article. A lots grammar mistakes and incomplete written expression found. Although I am not native, but I am hardly to understand this article and disturbed with lots confusing sentences. Please make coherence on sentences in each paragraph, because there are so many complicated sentences.
- 5. Introduction: What is geoscience? Why is it relevant to urban realm and its society? What can society do with geoscience literacy? It is hard to understand the relationship between people choices and geoscientific knowledge.
- 6. Conceptual matter 1: What do you want to explain about engineering socially? What is it? Why is it mentioned in this paper? What is it relation with enacted activity? What is their contribution to geoscience literate? The author states multiple times the connection of human activity, geosphere, and urban relams without explain the meaning and the connection. The author purpose is not clear.
- 7. Conceptual matter 2: Geosphere is so wide, what kind of geosphere the author means? Atmosphere, cryosphere, lithosphere, or hydrosphere? What is the relationship between geosphere phenomena with socie-economic? That's distant relation! The author only gives fraction insight of geoscience while trying to relate it with sustainable, activities, and socio-economic factors in urban realms.
- 8. Exampel weather: Too many not effective sentences using in this sections and the readermay fail to catch the author's perspectives.
- 9. Discussion & conclusion: on first paragraph, the author re-state what he tells on the first sentence of abstract. It is not effective and should be deleted. "Those professional ..." the statement is not clear. "Plug-in" in second paragraph means the author try to make the readers know about the meteorology in apps that provide a lot of information about the current weather. Meanwhile, the article is not considering about the apps user. What is the author purpose on this article?

