

Peer Review

Review of: "Efficient Management of Information Communication Technology Resources in an Organisation"

Parisa Omidmand¹

1. Department of Economics, Texas Tech University, United States

The manuscript covers a relevant topic and is easy to follow, but it reads more like a broad overview than a research paper with a clearly defined contribution. The abstract and introduction repeat general claims, and the scope is also very wide.

The literature and related works sections cite many sources, but they are mostly listed and summarized rather than synthesized. The manuscript would be stronger if it organized prior work around a clear framework and then used that framework consistently to structure the findings and recommendations. The “real-world examples” are presented as proposed cases rather than documented cases, so they do not provide evidence. If the manuscript intends to claim case-study support, it needs identifiable organizations, data sources, and clearly defined before-and-after measures.

The methodology is the weakest part. It mentions a qualitative approach and a Boolean search, but it does not report where the search was conducted, the time window, inclusion and exclusion criteria, how many records were found and retained, or how studies were assessed and coded. Without these details, the review is not reproducible.

Declarations

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.