

Review of: "Investigation of Mechanical Properties of Sisal Fiber and Sugar Palm Fiber Reinforced Hybrid Composites"

O. J. Gbadeyan¹

¹ University of KwaZulu-Natal

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

- The abstract needs to be concise and accurate. The first 2-3 lines are not important and may be transferred to the introduction section.
- A thorough grammar and language check must be done.
- Suggestion of where the developed biocomposite is applicable is not mentioned in the abstract.
- It is so surprising that the first two paragraphs of the introduction section are without citation. That is not good writing practice.
- There was no novelty statement. The aim, the scope, and where the developed material is applicable were not mentioned in the manuscript.
- I do not understand this statement "Zewdie Alemayehu et al., [1] reviewed that different orientations of the fibre have enhanced the mechanical properties of the sisal fibre composite material" please rewrite.
- The figures are labelled poorly.
- The results and discussion only stated the results without any discussion. No valid reason was provided for material behaviours. The results were not compared with available literature.
- Lesser citation
- The conclusion was poorly written.

Based on the above facts, I recommend rejection