

## Peer Review

# Review of: "Prevalence, Patterns, and Correlates of Depression Among Drug-Susceptible Tuberculosis Patient Enrollees in Ogbomoso, Oyo State: A Cross-Sectional Study"

Seifu Gizaw Feyisa<sup>1</sup>

1. Jimma University, Ethiopia

## Comments for Authors

Many studies highlight that depression and anxiety are common among TB patients and can significantly impact treatment adherence and overall quality of life. Factors like socioeconomic conditions, HIV/AIDS,..... contribute to depression and anxiety in TB patients. So what is/are your study finding that makes it different? Any new insights?

### Comments:

1. It needs revision for grammatical errors
2. The abstract is not well written; for example, "The study was a cross-sectional hospital-based survey. The sample size of 333 respondents....." This statement needs improvement. 'A cross-sectional study conducted on 333.....'
3. "This study found a high prevalence of depression among TB patients." What are your justifications? You have not used the 95% CI interval of the outcome prevalence?
4. The discussion part needs major revision; it is so shallow. Discuss each variable by comparing it with previous studies using the 95% CI interval. Discuss in depth by using more references
5. The study period was not mentioned. The study was conducted from .....to.....Please include it
6. Conclusion: It should be concluded according to the results (study outcome)

## **Declarations**

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.