

Review of: "Sacred Plants and Their Miraculous or Healing Properties"

Cecilia B. Peña-Valdivia¹

¹ Colegio de Postgraduados

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Comments and suggestions for the authors of the manuscript Sacred Plants and Their Miraculous or Healing Properties (Qeios ID: IFKWO7).

The manuscript includes valuable information on the history, uses, and, in general, ethnobotany of some plants. The separation of the sections or subsections of the index is imprecise.

The author emphasizes the description, uses, history, etc., of two species; therefore, she suggested that they be included in the title of the manuscript and that the proposed index be revised.

In the content, it is not clear why DISCUSSION 1 AND DISCUSSION 2 are presented. The separation of the discussion into two parts is not justified.

Most of the INTRODUCTION lacks references; therefore, I suggest that the author include them to support the information.

All figures must be cited in the text before being included. Therefore, figures 1 and 2 should be moved to page 10.

Additionally, credit must be given to each image. The current version of figures 1 and 2 does not indicate the origin of the images.

Check the position of the figures; many of them are before they are mentioned in the text. It must be the opposite.

Additionally, as indicated for the first figures, the source or author of each figure must be included.

Make sure all scientific names in the text are italicized.

In general, the text included as DISCUSSION 1 is not; it seems like a continuation of the description made in the RESULTS section.

Instead of discussion I and 2, the numbering of the sections should be modified:

4.1

4.1.1

4.1.2

Etc.

4.2

4.2.1

4.2.2

Etc.

The text "...from drinking pulque, made from the fermented sap of the common agave (fig. 40), a variety of maguey that not contain mescaline...", on page 40, must be modified, since pulque is NOT obtained from any species of *Agave*. Furthermore, figure 40 is unnecessary or should be changed to one of the *Agave* species that is used to produce pulque. The text is wrong.

Figures 41, 42, 62, 63, 64 seem unnecessary.

I suggest that the figures be selected and only those that are truly relevant and related to the central topic of the review be included.

The subtitle 5.3 "Cactaceae Diversity" could change to History of its botanical classification.