

Review of: "Unravelling The Contributions Of The Nigerian Livestock And Other More Prominent Sectors In Mitigation Of Global Green House Gas (GHG)"

Sani Mohammed

Potential competing interests: The author(s) declared that no potential competing interests exist.

Unravelling The Contributions of The Nigerian Livestock And Other More Prominent Sectors In Mitigation Of Global Green House Gas (GHG[H1])

[H1]1. The title sounds confusing by saying more prominent sectors. Is livestock sector the focus of the study more prominent than the other sectors or the other sectors more prominent than the livestock sector? If other sectors are more prominent then they should be the focus of the study. If otherwise, it may be more meaningful to reframe the title as: "Unravelling the Contributions of the Nigerian Livestock and other Prominent Sectors in Mitigation of Global Green House Gas (GHG)".

2. Capitalization of first letter of linking words such as The, Of should be avoided.

Abstract

3. The words of the abstract revealed that it is 530 words; however, the best practice is 250 words.
4. An abstract should give the reader an idea of the entire journal article stating the problem and perhaps why it should be investigated, the aim of the research, data collected, its tools of collection, how the data is analyzed, results from the study and often policy implications of findings of the study.
5. The abstract is too vague lacking coherent flow and consistency to give the reader what the article is all about.

Chapter One

6. Is chapter one the same as introduction or background to the study as the case may be?
If the answer is yes; then, while there are few sentences that could fit into introduction/background, they are scattered in all the paragraphs of the chapter; thus, the entire work which should be clearly laid out here justifying its conduct is not clearly outlined. Overall, the chapter is too clumsy to stand as introduction/background of the study.
7. Citations where provided are not too specific providing Author and year and again link to the citations are provided. This should be corrected.

Chapter Two

8. Some of the contents of the chapter are most relevant to chapter while most of it are not even relevant.
9. The chapter is full of errors such that many sentences could hardly be understood or the messages are missing.
10. The study stated that "An overview of the global poultry, swine and cattle further gives credence to the fact that

Nigerian livestock contribution to global GHG is absolutely small". Thus, why conducting the study? Most often the intensity of the problem at hand must be portrayed to justify conduct of the study. If chapters one and two could be merged; perhaps, a good background of the study could be developed. Most often chapter two or section two of a journal article is devoted to review of existing literature on the subject. It is from this that gaps are established which helps in justifying the current study.

Chapter Three

11. This chapter depending on number of chapters or sections that a journal may advice is normally devoted to data and methods or methods & data or methods alone. Although it may not be a bad to discuss the sectors under study, these are not presented in clearly articulated manner, again there are flow, consistencies and coherency in the chapter.

Chapter Four

12. Normally devoted to results and discussions do not lay any foundation on how figures on table 1 are to be ascertain. Are these costs for entire farms in the country or they are selected? If they are selected what is the population of at least formal farms from which the sample is drawn? On what bases are the number of farms used in the study selected? What are the criterion of estimating the costs?

13. The stream of income presented in table 2 is not discounted to the present as they are anticipated inflows, they must be discounted to the present.

Overall, absence of flow, consistency and coherency in the manuscript has rendered the useful aspects of it not useful as there is no one [H1] paragraph that could be termed as clearly on course. Similarly, the use of unnecessary vocabulary instead of simple or words usually used in the research area is potentially to have negative effects on interface between the reader and the article. The idea behind the article could be true, interesting and relatively new as gaps could be filled, but the entire manuscript needs to be reconsidered by the author.