

# Review of: "Legal Pluralism, Familial Honour and Shariat: A Case of Alternative Dispute Resolution within a Muslim Clan, Uttar Pradesh, India"

Joseph David<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Sapir College

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Upon reading the article with interest, I find myself slightly perplexed as to how to approach writing a review. The article's intended discipline and platform of publication remain unclear to me. The article's value lies in its descriptive nature, rather than any claims of theoretical innovation or legal doctrine renewal. Nevertheless, the brief theoretical introduction to the article is intriguing, particularly as it highlights the relationship between legal pluralism, religious law, and post-colonialism. This tripartite relationship is both significant and compelling, yet remains underexplored in contemporary research.

While the article does touch upon several significant questions, such as the tendency of judicial colonialism to exclude family law matters from the legal sphere and classify them as 'personal status.' It does not develop these points in sufficient detail. Additionally, the article does not fully address how the revival of the concept of legal status aligns with Henry Mayen's thesis on the shift from status to contract in modern law. Nonetheless, the author's distinction between the connection of identity and loyalty to traditional religious law versus the resolution of disputes through domestic standards is a thought-provoking point that deserves further elaboration.

In conclusion, the intended publication format of the article is crucial to assessing its value.