

Review of: "Systematic Review on Effective Psychotherapies And/or Combined Treatments With Patients With Severe and Comorbidity"

Yasemin Uzun

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

First of all, the text is in need of a major revision, and I have several suggestions:

The title does not correspond well with the content of the study; it is unclear whether the treatment referred to is pharmacological or non-pharmacological.

The abstract section: it is recommended to limit general information and support it with more specific data and results.

The introduction section: should emphasize the importance of the study with relevant current research data and provide information on which comorbidities are most commonly observed, if available.

The method section: indicates that the design of the included studies is heterogeneous. To ensure the quality of the study results, it is advisable to include only studies with intervention groups.

Utilize the latest version of the PRISMA guidelines.

Provide information on which quality assessment tool was used (if any) and how many reviewers evaluated it.

Explain whether a coding form was created and if a pre-application was conducted to minimize bias risk.

Specify which diagnoses are considered comorbid disorders and include inclusion criteria related to this.

Ensure that the method section's subsections are more systematic.

The results section: provide brief and striking conclusions based on the data in the table.

Comparisons made with different studies should be presented in the discussion section, not in the findings.

Arrange the percentage ranges in the tables in a more understandable manner for the reader (e.g., from high to low).

Create tables that provide clearer and more understandable information about the included studies.

Support the discussion with up-to-date data and provide suggestions in the conclusion section based on the results obtained to guide future research.