

Review of: "Assessing the Role of Consumer Cooperatives in Improving Livelihood of the Members of Hawassa Zuria Woreda, Sidama Regional State, Ethiopia"

Solomon Habtu¹

¹ Mekelle University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

In fact, the authors' choice of title is interesting; however, the overall write-up, starting from the beginning (title) to the end (reference listing), requires major revision before publication. The comments on the current version of the article are presented in the PDF version of the article (as highlights) and listed as follows:

I) General comments:

1. **Reorganizing the write-up of all sections** in the form of a **research article**
2. Revise the composition of most of the paragraphs,
3. **Language check** is required throughout all sections of the article,
4. **Avoid unnecessary and irrelevant sections**,
5. Keep **proper idea flow** throughout the article (follow general to specific and make sure ideas are put in proper order (not haphazardly and carelessly) in every section)
6. **Citations** of all the listed references at the corresponding sections are required. Though the reference listing is about 4 pages, most of them are not cited in the article. So, you need to seriously reorganize this section and shape it in the form of a research article.
7. **Linking all sections** so that there is a smooth idea flow and harmony among: title, abstract, problem statement, objectives, methods, results and discussion, and conclusions and recommendations.

II) Specific comments:

1. The **title** requires rephrasing so that it represents the content of the article,
2. **Abstract** should be revised so that it contains the research GAP, objectives, methods, results, and implications
3. The **introduction** needs to be organized from general to specific (leading to the research gap) and has to have the research objectives
4. Putting **literature review** as a chapter is not necessary. Instead, you can utilize the ideas under Results and Discussions
5. **Methods** needs reorganization by specifically focusing on those methods and approaches applied in this research
6. **Results and Discussions** need to be reorganized and made specific to the objectives and results of this research
7. **Conclusions and Recommendations** need to be restricted to the results of this research

8. **Reference** listing is improper and needs to follow either alphabetic order or numbering.