

## Review of: "Maintaining cyberhygiene in the Internet of Things (IoT): An expert consensus study of requisite user behaviours"

## Mohit T<sup>1</sup>

1 Bharati Vidyapeeth University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

## Authors have to:

reviewing relevant works with a critical eye, improving the abstract, and editing the manuscript for errors ,graph their results and contrast them with those of other available alternatives.

A more thorough explanation of the technique used to perform the expert consensus research would improve the publication. Give details about the criteria used to choose the experts, the procedure for gathering data, and the techniques used to analyse the data. This will improve the study's transparency and reproducibility.

The expert consensus study's findings are instructive, however it would be useful to provide more detailed information on the degree of consensus reached for each identified user behavior. Indicate, for instance, whether there was broad consensus among the experts or if there were disagreements over particular behaviours.

Give a more thorough examination and interpretation of the findings in the discussion section. Examine potential causes for any disagreements between the experts' viewpoints and talk about how promoting cyberhygiene in the IoT may be affected by these variances.

The study's potential limitations, such as the experts' biased selection or the findings' generalizability, are briefly discussed in the publication. Expand on these restrictions and talk about possible solutions. Discuss any restrictions relating to the consensus study approach itself as well.

Overall, my review is to "accept the article" with minor revisions

Qeios ID: SOWACT · https://doi.org/10.32388/SOWACT