

# Review of: "Collaborative Intelligence: A scoping review of current applications"

Kamarudin Abu Bakar<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

Congratulations! Overall, it is a well written article.

However, I would like to suggest a few improvements as follow:

1. In the ABSTRACT, I believe the methodology used must be mentioned.
2. The INTRODUCTION is fine except it is advisable if you can elaborate more on the definition or meaning of collaborative intelligence (CI). What the CI really is and how the term emerged.
3. METHODOLOGY is quite clear. But how the 1,250 number of documents are determined needs to be precise.
4. Although the space is limited, you need to ensure that the 'characteristics' of CI are not 'messy' but are organized in a very systematic and structured manner to ensure good flow of the content being discussed for ease of understanding.
5. Under RESULTS, I think exhibit of appropriate charts should enhance your reporting quality which include:
  - distribution (occurrence) of the CI subjects (keywords).
  - year of the published documents.
  - sources of the articles being extracted.

Well done!