

Review of: "Understanding How the Design of Urban Areas Can Negate Anti-Social Behaviour and Criminal Activity: Taking the Example of Hyderabad Urban Areas as Case Studies"

Luís Baptista

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The subject is interesting and should be followed, but with a different approach more scientific and documented. In this subject it's not easy to find conclusions, but I think you need to focus more on the problem and less in your perspective of the problem.

Next, some suggestions:

- . What is CPTED? you need to explain it before use it. Even if it's a tag.
- . An informal survey of how many? We need to context the results.
- . Lack of references for some presented facts. Example: all factors that gives rise to crime in urban areas. But it continues
- . Figures are not linked to the text. All figures should be referenced in the text.
- . Concepts are mentioned but not explained in the text. How can be increased the perceived risk/effort of the offense?
And how can be (or are) used in the presented cases?
- . And why is the risk repeated 3 times?
- . References must be updated. Only one has less than 15 years.