

Review of: "Digital Literacy in People with Disabilities: An Overview and Narrative Review"

Abdulakeem Sodeeq Sulyman¹

¹ Kwara State Polytechnic

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Introduction

Citing 1998 work. Is it not too old? (25 years ago). Some latest works could be sourced to justify the researcher's view. For ease of comprehension, the Introduction should be broken into two paragraphs.

Digital divide

1. ...many websites are inaccessible to people with (not those with)...
2. Unlike people with no disability (not unaffected individuals)...
3. UNESCO's Sustainable Development Goal #4 (in 2001)? How sure are you with the assertion? Sustainable Development Goals came into being in 2015, after reviewing the likelihood of meeting the targets of Millennium Development Goals.
4. I don't think there is a need to explain what is "Digital Illiteracy." Clear definitions and explanations of digital literacy would address it.
5. What is considered as the Objective would be suitable for "Problem Statement or Rationale," while the itemised points are good for the specific objectives.
6. Can the cumulative number of the databases or works investigated be stated in the "Methods?"
7. The researcher is articulate and situates the findings in the discussion appropriately.
8. I will advise that some old citations should be replaced.