

# Review of: "Adoption of Technology Acceptance and Interfaces for Academic Information System Applications"

Muhammad A Ghani<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Universiti Malaya

**Potential competing interests:** Accepted but subject to correction as suggested.

1. Introduction: (a) needs to highlight the related issues that are started by the author's viewpoints, then support them with the related secondary data, and (b) beware of the academic style of writing: needs more criticizing rather than repeating the secondary data.
2. Literature Review: good because the discussion is based on the research hypothesis, but beware of the academic style of writing: needs more criticizing rather than repeating the secondary data.
3. Methodology: a bit simple until the readers get blurry. This is because there are no important subtopics such as (a) research design, (b) sampling, and (c) instruments.
4. Findings: the author answered the research objectives but suggested to present them based on hypotheses.
5. Discussion: good because the author discusses based on the findings but needs more support from related secondary data.
6. Others: revise the references that are supposed to follow APA style of writing.