

Review of: "Bioethical Assessment of Research with Humanoid or Humanized Biological Entities with Uncertain Moral Status"

Enrico Maestri¹

¹ University of Ferrara

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

I recently came across the article "Bioethical Assessment of Research with Humanoid or Humanized Biological Entities with Uncertain Moral Status" by Lucía Gómez-Tatay and Julio Tudela, and I must say, it has certainly piqued my interest. The authors delve into the fascinating realm of studies conducted with humanoid or humanized biological entities, shedding light on the ethical concerns surrounding these novel scientific endeavors.

One aspect that stood out to me in this article was the thought-provoking discussion on the moral status of these entities. Gómez-Tatay and Tudela highlight the challenges faced in categorizing these entities due to both conceptual and empirical limitations. It raises important questions about the moral licitness of generating and experimenting with such entities, as their uncertain moral status adds a layer of complexity to the ethical considerations at hand.

The authors also address the controversial topic of chimera research, emphasizing the need to set limits as scientific advancements could potentially blur the boundaries between human and animal individuals. This aspect resonated with me as it highlights the importance of ethical deliberations and the potential risks associated with pushing the boundaries too far.

One aspect that I found slightly lacking in this article was the lack of a comprehensive bibliography encompassing ethical and philosophical perspectives. While the authors do touch upon bioethical prudence, a more extensive literature review in this area could have further enriched the discussion and provided readers with a broader perspective on the topic.

Nevertheless, the overall flow and clarity of the article were commendable. The authors effectively communicate complex concepts and present a well-reasoned argument. Additionally, the inclusion of real-world examples and scientific advancements greatly enhances the article's appeal.

In conclusion, "Bioethical Assessment of Research with Humanoid or Humanized Biological Entities with Uncertain Moral Status" offers an engaging exploration of the ethical considerations surrounding the use of humanoid or humanized biological entities in research. Despite a few minor drawbacks, the article succeeds in shedding light on an important and thought-provoking subject. I highly recommend this article to anyone interested in the intersection of ethics and scientific advancements.

