

Review of: "The Role of Green Legacy in Promoting Sustainable Development and Combating Climate Change"

Frank Baffour-Ata¹

1 Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The study examines the impact of Green Legacy on Sustainable Development and Climate Change in Ethiopia. This is a crucial topic considering climate change studies continue to be limited in sub-Saharan Africa and findings from the study as clearly stated by the authors can guide the implementation of appropriate policies and strategies aimed at addressing climate change threats and realizing the United Nations SDGs including Goal 13. I must commend the authors for investigating this key topic. However, my detailed comments and suggestions to improve the manuscript are as follows:

- 1. The abstract is too long. The abstract should just convey the main and specific objectives, data collection methods, analyses, results, conclusion and probably one key recommendation. I suggest the authors shorten it.
- 2. The authors should be consistent in their writing. For instance, "et al.," is used when there a citation contains more than two authors. In some sections of the introduction, they wrote all the authors' names (e.g., (Hakim, Miyakawa, & Bakti, 2013), and in some sections too, the "et al.," (e.g., Kassa et al. (2020) were used. The authors need to adopt one. Also, the authors need to use either "&" or "and" in citing a reference. 3.
- 3. A research study". The authors need to eliminate one either "research" or "study.
- 4. The authors should check this and correct it "carbon carbon-free".
- 5. "weather change" is not the same as "climate change". If the authors wanted to write climate change, then they need to remove the "weather" and make it "climate".
- 6. The titles of figures should come beneath the figure and not on top.
- 7. The manuscript will benefit from language editing.
- 8. With the qualitative results, I had wanted to see some quotes to emphasize the discussion, but they were missing.

Qeios ID: SRKQAU · https://doi.org/10.32388/SRKQAU