

# Review of: "A Study of the Urban Nightlife of Delhi and its Impact on Safety"

Bình Nghiêm-Phú<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Hyogo

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

Dear Author,

Thank you for writing this paper. I want to share with you some of my observations as a reader about your work.

Overall, this is yet to be an academic paper. Although inconsistently and incoherently, the author mentioned their purposes in the abstract but not in the main text. They did not review the literature concerning the related topics and did not present a method(s) for collecting and analyzing the data. The author did not connect their observations with the literature and their research purposes. The discussion was missing, and the conclusion was mostly invalid. Please read more reliable references to see how research is designed and implemented, how a paper is structured, and how the information is delivered.

## 1. Introduction

- The author introduced the Delhi context. However, they did not present the reasons to justify their research's necessity and implications.
- Supposing the research purpose is to investigate the contribution of nightlife activities to urban safety, the author needs to review the literature to identify the gaps concerning nightlife activities, urban safety, and the Delhi context, among others. Without this information, the research can be considered meaningless from the beginning.

## 2. Literature review

The author needs to review extensive literature on their research topics (please identify the essential keywords). Relying on materials posted on websites is an amateurish and irresponsible undertaking.

## 3. Method

- The author needs to explain why they chose the cases, how they collected the data, and how they analyzed it. The author must be careful when using pictures and other visual materials from other sources.
- The author needs to build a theoretical framework or criteria to evaluate the nightlife activities, the safety conditions, and the relationship between these two.

## 4. Findings, discussion, and conclusion

After reaching reliable and valid outcomes, the author must link their observations with the existing literature and show their contributions to enriching the literature and supporting the practical operations. They also need to acknowledge their study's design and implementation limits and propose potential future study directions. They can consider including the footnotes in the text if possible.

Finally, I wish the authors the best with your efforts.

Best regards.