

## Review of: "Secure and Private Machine Learning: A Survey of Techniques and Applications"

## Harsh Kasyap<sup>1</sup>

1 Indian Institute of Technology Patna

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

- 1. The abstract is well written, and now I am excited to read the whole paper. However, wondering, is privacy violation keyword justified.
- 2. Safe multi-party computation is more well known as Secure multi-party computation.
- 3. can lead to serious privacy violations. → privacy leaks/threats.
- 4. More suitable references should be added in Introduction for PPML, security protocols, and secure machine learning.
- 5. PPML approaches can be divided roughly into two groups: ? Why HE is not there? Do authors considering under SMPC?
- 6. Description of ML models is very naive, either make it descriptive or no requirement of it even.
- 7. Again, crypto techniques like HE and SMPC are too briefly covered, they should be in some detail.
- 8. Figures should use standard text fonts.
- 9. 2.3. Deferential Privacy  $\rightarrow$  I think it is misspelled, and at a lot of places.
- 10. I don't understand the significance of Section 3.
- 11. 3 sections 2-5 for covering background and related works are too much.
- 12. 4.x should be names as the scheme's names, not like citations.
- 13. And, I reached to the end of the manuscript. I think authors should look at some survey papers, and rigorously revise this one.

Qeios ID: SV0FN5 · https://doi.org/10.32388/SV0FN5