

Review of: "The Effect of Visual Arts Educative Practices on Social Functionality in Patients With Schizophrenia"

Marco Iosa¹

¹ Sapienza University of Rome

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Despite being potentially interesting, this study has low scientific quality

There is a need for an English revision of the manuscript

There are also some typos (such as "It wa divided") and repetitions to correct (for example, in the sentence: "In this study, it was sought to answer whether visual arts practices made by schizophrenic patients had a positive or negative effect on the social functionality of these patients. social functionality;")

The abstract should quantitatively report the results

To talk about the "soul" is improper in a scientific paper, as well as to use expressions like "In our country"

What about the ethical approval of this study? Did participants provide written informed consent?

Limitations should be reported in the Discussion

Do not report in the main text the results already shown in tables

Figure 1 is a table... and authors could add a new column on the right about the p-values of the pre-post comparisons. Furthermore, this comparison should report data of patients in the experimental group and those of the control group, with an analysis of variance more than simply a paired t-test

Many tables report results not in line with the aims of the study, and they could be removed

The discussion is poor. It should be focused on the interpretation of the findings of this study driven by the scientific literature, comparing these results with similar or opposite results found in other studies